

# SCREENING DECISION REPORT NIRB FILE NO.: 10YN030

April 29, 2013

The Honourable Dan Shewchuk Minister Responsible for the Nunavut Arctic College P.O. Box 2410 Igaluit, NU X0A 0H0

Via email: dshewchuk@gov.nu.ca and thughes@gov.nu.ca

Re: Screening Decision for the University of Western Ontario's Amendment Request with the Nunavut Research Institute for its "Paleoenvironmental Reconstruction of the Haughton Impact Structure and Surrounding Terrains over the Past 39 Million Years", North Baffin Region, Additional Application Terms and Conditions, NIRB File No.: 10YN030

Dear Mr. Shewchuk:

The primary objectives of the Nunavut Impact Review Board (NIRB) are set out in section 12.2.5 of the Nunavut Land Claims Agreement (NLCA) as follows:

"In carrying out its functions, the primary objectives of NIRB shall be at all times to protect and promote the existing and future well-being of the residents and communities of the Nunavut Settlement Area, and to protect the ecosystemic integrity of the Nunavut Settlement Area. NIRB shall take into account the well-being of the residents of Canada outside the Nunavut Settlement Area."

#### Section 12.4.3 of the NLCA states that:

"Any application for a component or activity of a project proposal that has been permitted to proceed in accordance with these provisions shall be exempt from the requirement for screening by NIRB unless:

- (a) such component or activity was not part of the original project proposal; or
- (b) its inclusion would significantly modify the project."

#### NIRB ASSESSMENT AND DECISION

The NIRB has completed a review of the University of Western Ontario's (UWO) request to the Nunavut Research Institute (NRI) for an amendment to its existing NRI Scientific Research Licence (02 082 10N-M) for their "Paleoenvironmental Reconstruction of the Haughton Impact Structure and Surrounding Terrains over the Past 39 Million Years" project.

After a thorough assessment of the project proposal, the amendment application information and the comments received (please see *Procedural History* and *Project Activities* in **Appendix A**), in accordance with Section 12.4.3 of the NLCA, the Board has determined that this request will result in a change to the original scope of the project. Therefore, the NIRB is re-issuing the recommended project-specific terms and conditions contained in the May 6, 2010 Screening Decision, NIRB file No.: 10YN030 in addition to new terms and conditions which are designed to mitigate any potential impacts to the environment as per Section 12.4.4(a) of the NLCA.

#### **PREVIOUSLY APPROVED** PROJECT-SPECIFIC TERMS AND CONDITIONS

The following terms and conditions were previously approved by the NIRB for file **10YN030** in a Screening Decision Report dated May 6, 2010 and is available from NIRB's ftp site using the following link

http://ftp.nirb.ca/01-SCREENINGS/COMPLETED%20SCREENINGS/2010/10YN030-U%20Western%20Ontario%20-%20Gordon%20Osinski/.

#### General

- 1. The Proponent shall maintain a copy of the Project Terms and Conditions at the site of operation at all times.
- 2. The Proponent shall forward copies of all permits obtained and required for this project to the Nunavut Impact Review Board (NIRB) prior to the commencement of the project.
- 3. The Proponent shall operate in accordance with all commitments stated in correspondence provided to NIRB (Scientific Research Licence Application, March 15, 2010).
- 4. The Proponent shall operate the site in accordance with all applicable Acts, Regulations and Guidelines.

#### Water

5. The Proponent shall not use water, including constructing or disturbing any stream, lakebed or the banks of any definable water course unless approved by the Nunavut Water Board.

# Waste

- 6. The Proponent shall incinerate all combustible wastes daily, and remove the ash from incineration activities and non-combustible wastes from the project site to an approved facility for disposal.
- 7. The Proponent shall keep all garbage and debris in bags placed in a covered metal container or equivalent until disposed of at an approved facility. All wastes shall be kept inaccessible to wildlife at all times.

## **Fuel and Chemical Storage**

- 8. The Proponent shall locate all fuel and other hazardous materials a minimum of thirty-one (31) metres away from the high water mark of any water body and in such a manner as to prevent their release into the environment.
- 9. The Proponent shall store all fuel and chemicals in such a manner that they are inaccessible to wildlife
- 10. The Proponent shall use secondary containment or a surface liner (self-supporting instaberms, fold-a-tanks, etc), when storing barreled fuel and chemicals on all locations rather than relying on natural depressions.
- 11. The Proponent shall ensure that all on site personnel are properly trained in fuel and hazardous waste handling procedures as well as spill response procedures. All spills of fuel or other deleterious materials of any amount must be reported immediately to the 24 hour Spill Line at (867) 920-8130.

### Wildlife

- 12. The Proponent shall ensure that there is no damage to wildlife habitat in conducting this operation.
- 13. The Proponent shall not harass wildlife. This includes persistently worrying or chasing animals, or disturbing large groups of animals. The Proponent shall not hunt or fish, unless proper Nunavut authorizations have been acquired.
- 14. The Proponent shall restrict aircraft/helicopter activity related to the project to a minimum altitude of 610 metres above ground level unless there is a specific requirement for low-level flying, which does not disturb wildlife and migratory birds.
- 15. The Proponent shall ensure that aircraft maintain a vertical distance of 1000 metres and a horizontal distance of 1500 metres from any observed groups (colonies) of migratory birds. It is recommended aircraft avoid critical and sensitive wildlife areas at all times by choosing alternate flight corridors.
- 16. The Proponent shall ensure that aircraft/helicopter do not, unless for emergency, touch-down in areas where wildlife are present.
- 17. The Proponent shall not disturb or destroy the nests or eggs of any birds. If nests are encountered and/or identified, the Proponent shall take precaution to avoid further interaction and or disturbance (e.g., a 100 metre buffer around the nests). If active nests of any birds are discovered (i.e. with eggs or young), the Proponent shall avoid these areas until nesting is complete and the young have left the nest.
- 18. The Proponent shall cease activities that may interfere with migration or calving of caribou or muskox, until the caribou or muskox have passed or left the area.
- 19. The Proponent shall not block or cause any diversion to caribou migration, and shall cease activities likely to interfere with migration, such as airborne surveys, movement of equipment or personnel until such time as the caribou have passed.

20. The Proponent shall ensure that all project personnel are made aware of the measures to protect wildlife and are provided with training and/or advice on how to implement these measures. The Proponent shall also advise all pilots of relevant flight restrictions and enforce their application over the project area and flight paths to/from the project area.

## **Physical Environment**

- 21. The Proponent shall not move any equipment or vehicles unless the ground surface is in a state capable of fully supporting the equipment or vehicles without rutting or gouging. The Proponent shall suspend overland travel of equipment or vehicles if rutting occurs.
- 22. The Proponent shall ensure that the land use area is kept clean and tidy at all times.

## **Camp**

- 23. The Proponent shall ensure that all camps are located on gravel, sand or other durable land.
- 24. The Proponent shall not erect camps or store material on the surface ice of lakes or streams.

### Restoration

- 25. The Proponent shall remove all garbage, fuel and equipment upon abandonment.
- 26. The Proponent shall complete all clean-up and restoration of the lands used prior to the end of each field season and/or upon abandonment of site.

#### Other

- 27. The Proponent should, to the extent possible, hire local people and to consult with local residents regarding their activities in the region.
- 28. Any activity related to this application, and outside the original scope of the project as described in the application, will be considered a new project and should be submitted to the NIRB for Screening.

# **NEW** RECOMMENDED PROJECT-SPECIFIC TERMS AND CONDITIONS (pursuant to Section 12.4.4(a) of the NLCA)

The Board is recommending that the following or similar *additional* project-specific terms and conditions be imposed upon the Proponent through all relevant legislation:

#### Water

29. The Proponent shall not extract water from any fish-bearing waterbody unless the water intake hose is equipped with a screen of appropriate mesh size to ensure that there is no entrapment of fish. Small lakes or streams should not be used for water withdrawal unless approved by the Nunavut Water Board.

### Caribou and Muskox

30. The Proponent shall not conduct any drilling operation within 5 kilometres (km) of any paths or crossings known to be frequented by (e.g. designated caribou crossings).

## **Drilling on Land**

- 31. The Proponent shall not conduct any land based drilling within thirty-one (31) metres of the normal high water mark of a water body.
- 32. The Proponent shall not allow any drilling wastes to spread to the surrounding lands or water bodies.
- 33. If an artesian flow is encountered, the Proponent shall ensure the drill hole is immediately plugged and permanently sealed.
- 34. The Proponent shall ensure that all drill areas are constructed to facilitate minimizing the environmental footprint of the project area. Drill areas should be kept orderly with garbage removed daily to an approved disposal site.
- 35. The Proponent shall ensure all drill holes are backfilled or capped prior to the end of each field season.

### OTHER NIRB CONCERNS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

In addition to the project-specific terms and conditions, the Board has previously recommended the following:

- 1. The Proponent review the bear/carnivore detection and deterrent techniques outlined in "Safety in Grizzly and Black Bear Country" which can be down-loaded from this link: http://www.nwtwildlife.com/Publications/safetyinbearcountry/safety.htm. Note that some recommendations in this manual are also relevant to polar bears. There is a DVD about polar safety bears and available from Nunavut **Parks** at the following http://www.nunavutparks.com/english/visitor-information/suggested-resources.html and a "Safety in Polar Bear Country" pamphlet from Parks Canada at the following link http://www.pc.gc.ca/pn-np/nu/auyuittug/pdf/PolarBearEnglish2007final.pdf.
- 2. The Proponent review Environment Canada's "Technical Document for Batch Waste Incineration", available at the following link: <a href="http://www.ec.gc.ca/drgd-wrmd/default.asp?lang=En&n=82401EC7-1">http://www.ec.gc.ca/drgd-wrmd/default.asp?lang=En&n=82401EC7-1</a>. The technical document provides information on appropriate incineration technologies, best management and operational practices, monitoring and reporting.
- 3. The Proponent review Environment Canada's "Environmental Assessment Best Practice Guide for *Wildlife at Risk in Canada*", available at the following link: <a href="http://www.cws-scf.ec.gc.ca/publications/eval/index\_e.cfm">http://www.cws-scf.ec.gc.ca/publications/eval/index\_e.cfm</a>. The guide provides information to the Proponent on what is required when Wildlife at Risk, including *Species at Risk*, are encountered or affected by the project.

# General

4. All Authorizing Agencies shall notify the NIRB of any changes in operating plans or conditions associated with this project prior to any such change.

The Board is currently also recommending the following:

## **Bear and Carnivore Safety**

5. Any problem wildlife or any interaction with carnivores should be reported immediately to the local Government of Nunavut, Department of Environment Conservation Office (Conservation Officer of Resolute, Tabitha Mullin, phone: (867) 252-3879, email: <a href="mailto:tmullin@gov.nu.ca">tmullin@gov.nu.ca</a> or, Conservation of Grise Fiord, John Neely phone: (867) 980-4164, email: <a href="mailto:jneely@gov.nu.ca">jneely@gov.nu.ca</a>).

### REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS

The Proponent has been previously advised that the following legislation may apply to the project:

- 1. The Fisheries Act (http://laws.justice.gc.ca/en/showtdm/cs/F-14///en).
- 2. The *Nunavut Waters and Nunavut Surface Rights Tribunal Act* (<a href="http://www.canlii.org/ca/sta/n-28.8/whole.html">http://www.canlii.org/ca/sta/n-28.8/whole.html</a>).
- 3. The *Migratory Birds Convention Act* and *Migratory Birds Regulations* (http://laws.justice.gc.ca/en/showtdm/cs/M-7.01).
- 4. The *Species at Risk Act* (<a href="http://laws.justice.gc.ca/en/showtdm/cs/S-15.3">http://laws.justice.gc.ca/en/showtdm/cs/S-15.3</a>). Attached in **Appendix B** is a list of Species at Risk in Nunavut.
- 5. The *Nunavut Wildlife Act* which contains provisions to protect and conserve wildlife and wildlife habitat, including specific protection measures for wildlife habitat and species at risk.
- 6. The *Nunavut Act* (<a href="http://laws.justice.gc.ca/en/showtdm/cs/N-28.6">http://laws.justice.gc.ca/en/showtdm/cs/N-28.6</a>). The Proponent must comply with the proposed terms and conditions listed in the attached **Appendix C**.
- 7. The *Aeronautics Act* (<a href="http://laws.justice.gc.ca/en/A-2/">http://laws.justice.gc.ca/en/A-2/</a>).
- 8. The Navigable Waters Protection Act (NWPA) (http://laws.justice.gc.ca/en/N-22/index.html).

# **Validity of Land Claims Agreement**

### *Section 2.12.2*

Where there is any inconsistency or conflict between any federal, territorial and local government laws, and the Agreement, the Agreement shall prevail to the extent of the inconsistency or conflict.

Dated \_\_\_\_\_April 29, 2013\_\_\_\_\_ at Arviat, NU.

Elizabeth Copland, Chairperson

Attachments: Appendix A: Procedural History and Project Activities

Appendix B: Species at Risk in Nunavut

Appendix C: Archaeological and Palaeontological Resources Terms and Conditions for Land Use

Permit Holders

# Appendix A

## Procedural History and Project Activities

# Procedural History

On March 20, 2013 the Nunavut Impact Review Board (NIRB) received an application from the Nunavut Research Institute (NRI) for an amendment to the existing NRI Scientific Research Licence (02 082 10N-M) for the University of Western Ontario's (UWO) "Paleoenvironmental Reconstruction of the Haughton Impact Structure and Surrounding Terrains over the Past 39 Million Years" project proposal. On March 18, 2013 the NIRB received a positive conformity determination (North Baffin Regional Land Use Plan) from the Nunavut Planning Commission for this amendment request.

## Past File History

The original application for the project (NIRB File No.: 10YN030) was received from the NRI on March 31, 2010. The project proposal was screened in accordance with Part 4, Article 12 of the Nunavut Land Claims Agreement (NLCA) and on May 6, 2010 the NIRB issued a 12.4.4 (a) screening decision to the Minister Responsible for the Nunavut Arctic College, Government of Nunavut allowing the proposed research activities to proceed subject to project-specific recommended terms and conditions (May 6, 2010 Screening Decision Report).

# Current File History

The NIRB has determined that this amendment request may result in a change to the original scope of the project and distributed the project proposal to community organizations in Grise Fiord, Resolute Bay, Artic Bay and Pond Inlet, as well as to relevant federal and territorial government agencies, and Inuit organizations. The NIRB requested that interested parties review the proposal and the NIRB's previously recommended terms and conditions and provide the Board with any comments or concerns by April 12, 2013 regarding:

- Whether the inclusion of the additionally proposed component(s) and/or activity(ies) would significantly modify the project;
- Any additional mitigation measures that are appropriate; and
- Any other matter of importance to the Party related to the project proposal.

On or before April 12, 2013, the NIRB received comments from the following interested parties:

#### Environment Canada (EC)

All comments provided to NIRB regarding this project proposal can be viewed on NIRB's ftp-site, at the following location:

http://ftp.nirb.ca/01-SCREENINGS/COMPLETED%20SCREENINGS/2010/10YN030-U%20Western%20Ontario%20-%20Gordon%20Osinski/02-DISTRIBUTION/COMMENTS/

## **Project Activities**

This project is located within the North Baffin region, with research activities taking place at three sites on Devon Island by two different research teams using the same camp. The nearest communities are Resolute Bay and Grise Fiord, approximately 160 km southwest and 200 km northeast of the project, respectively. The Proponent intends to understand the geological, biological, and environmental effects of the Haughton Impact Structure (HIS) by collecting and studying fossil and rock samples as well as mapping of outcrops and deposits. It is also proposed that ground penetrating radar would be tested for its suitability in locating fossil occurrences.

The original application, that was screened in accordance with Part 4, Article 12 of the NLCA, was proposed to take place from July 16, 2010 to August 20, 2010 and included the following project components/activities:

- Use of a helicopter, Twin Otter aircraft and All-terrain Vehicle (ATV) for the transport of personnel to sample sites;
- Storage and use of fuel (410 litres (L) of diesel, 615 L of gasoline, 820 L of aviation fuel and 60 L of propane);
- Set up of a temporary camp on site;
- Production of wastes (sewage, grey water, combustible and non-combustible);
- Geologic mapping and sampling of various sites;
- Detailed outcrop-scale mapping with subsequent follow up laboratory work;
- Collection of samples of impact-shocked gneiss from the HIS for analysis of microorganisms; and
- Ground penetrating radar to delineate massive ice deposits.

The Proponent is currently applying for an amendment to their NRI Scientific Research Licence which includes the following additional components or activities:

- Use of geophysical instruments to further define magnetic anomalies and the use of a custom designed drill rig (diamond drill) to retrieve lithology responsible for magnetic anomalies;
- Increased use of ATVs (four instead of one) to transport personnel and equipment to research sites; and
- Increased use of water for domestic and drilling purposes from 36 L per day to 100 L per day.

The proposed activities would occur between July 10, 2013 and August 10, 2013.

# **Appendix B**Species at Risk in Nunavut

This list includes species listed on one of the Schedules of SARA (*Species at Risk Act*) and under consideration for listing on Schedule 1 of SARA. These species have been designated as at risk by COSEWIC (Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada). This list may not include all species identified as at risk by the Territorial Government.

- Schedule 1 is the official legal list of Species at Risk for SARA. SARA applies to all species on Schedule 1. The term "listed" species refers to species on Schedule 1.
- Schedule 2 and 3 of SARA identify species that were designated at risk by the COSEWIC prior to October 1999 and must be reassessed using revised criteria before they can be considered for addition to Schedule 1.
- Some species identified at risk by COSEWIC are "pending" addition to Schedule 1 of SARA. These species are under consideration for addition to Schedule 1, subject to further consultation or assessment.

Schedules of SARA are amended on a regular basis so it is important to periodically check the SARA registry (<a href="http://www.sararegistry.gc.ca/default\_e.cfm">http://www.sararegistry.gc.ca/default\_e.cfm</a>) to get the current status of a species.

Updated: January 2012

Terrestrial	COSEWIC	Schedule of	Government
Species at Risk <sup>1</sup>	Designation	SARA	Organization with
	_		Primary Management
			Responsibility <sup>2</sup>
Eskimo Curlew	Endangered	Schedule 1	EC
Ivory Gull	Endangered	Schedule 1	EC
Ross's Gull	Threatened	Schedule 1	EC
Harlequin Duck (Eastern	Special	Schedule 1	EC
population)	Concern		
Rusty Blackbird	Special	Schedule 1	GN
	Concern		
Felt-leaf Willow	Special	Schedule 1	GN
	Concern		
Peregrine Falcon	Special	Schedule 1 -	GN
	Concern	Threatened	
	(anatum-	(anatum)	
	tundrius	Schedule 3 –	
	complex <sup>3</sup> )	Special Concern	
		(tundrius)	
Short-eared Owl	Special	Schedule 3	GN
	Concern		
Peary Caribou	Endangered	Schedule 1	GN
Barren-ground Caribou	Special	Schedule 1	GN
(Dolphin and Union population)	Concern		

Terrestrial Species at Risk <sup>1</sup>	COSEWIC Designation	Schedule of SARA	Government Organization with Primary Management Responsibility <sup>2</sup>
Polar Bear	Special Concern	Schedule 1	GN
Red Knot (rufa subspecies)	Endangered	Pending	EC
Red Knot (islandica subspecies)	Special Concern	Pending	EC
Porsild's Bryum	Threatened	Pending	GN
Horned Grebe (Western population)	Special Concern	Pending	EC
Grizzly Bear	Special Concern	Pending	GN
Wolverine (Western population)	Special Concern	Pending	GN
Atlantic Cod, Arctic Lakes	Special Concern	No schedule	DFO
Atlantic Walrus	Special Concern	Pending	DFO
Beluga Whale (Cumberland Sound population)	Threatened	Pending	DFO
Beluga Whale (Eastern Hudson Bay population)	Endangered	Pending	DFO
Beluga Whale (Western Hudson Bay population)	Special Concern	Pending	DFO
Beluga Whale (Eastern High Arctic – Baffin Bay population)	Special Concern	Pending	DFO
Bowhead Whale (Eastern Canada – West Greenland population)	Special Concern	Pending	DFO
Killer Whale (Northwest Atlantic / Eastern Arctic populations)	Special Concern	Pending	DFO
Narwhal	Special Concern	Pending	DFO

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Department of Fisheries and Oceans has responsibility for aquatic species.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Environment Canada (EC) has a national role to play in the conservation and recovery of Species at Risk in Canada, as well as responsibility for management of birds described in the Migratory Birds Convention Act (MBCA). Day-to-day management of terrestrial species not covered in the MBCA is the responsibility of the Territorial Government. Populations that exist in National Parks are also managed under the authority of the Parks Canada Agency.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The *anatum* subspecies of Peregrine Falcon is listed on Schedule 1 of SARA as threatened. The *anatum* and *tundrius* subspecies of Peregrine Falcon were reassessed by COSEWIC in 2007 and combined into one subpopulation complex. This subpopulation complex was assessed by COSEWIC as Special Concern.

## Appendix C

Archaeological and Palaeontological Resources Terms and Conditions for Land Use Permit Holders



#### INTRODUCTION

The Department of Culture and Heritage (CH) routinely reviews land use applications sent to the Nunavut Water Board, Nunavut Impact Review Board and the Department of Indian and Northern Affairs Canada. These terms and conditions provide general direction to the permittee/proponent regarding the appropriate actions to be taken to ensure the permittee/proponent carries out its role in the protection of Nunavut's archaeological and palaeontological resources.

### TERMS AND CONDITIONS

1) The permittee/proponent shall have a professional archaeologist and/or palaeontologist perform the following **Functions** associated with the **Types of Development** listed below or similar development activities:

	Types of Development	Function	
	(See Guidelines below)	(See Guidelines below)	
۵)	Large seels prospecting	Archaeological/Palaeontological	
a)	Large scale prospecting	Overview Assessment	
	Diamond drilling for exploration or		
b)	geotechnical purpose or planning of	Archaeological/ Palaeontological	
	linear disturbances	Inventory	
c)	Construction of linear disturbances,	Archaeological/ Palaeontological	
	Extractive disturbances, Impounding	Inventory or Assessment or Mitigation	
	disturbances and other land		
	disturbance activities	Willigation	

Note that the above-mentioned functions require either a Nunavut Archaeologist Permit or a Nunavut Palaeontologist Permit. CH is authorized by way of the *Nunavut and Archaeological and Palaeontological Site Regulations*<sup>1</sup> to issue such permits.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>P.C. 2001-1111 14 June, 2001

- 2) The permittee/proponent shall not operate any vehicle over a known or suspected archaeological or palaeontological site.
- 3) The permittee/proponent shall not remove, disturb, or displace any archaeological artifact or site, or any fossil or palaeontological site.
- 4) The permittee/proponent shall immediately contact CH at (867) 934-2046 or (867) 975-5500 should an archaeological site or specimen, or a palaeontological site or fossil, be encountered or disturbed by any land use activity.
- 5) The permittee/proponent shall immediately cease any activity that disturbs an archaeological or palaeontological site encountered during the course of a land use operation until permitted to proceed with the authorization of CH.
- 6) The permittee/proponent shall follow the direction of CH in restoring disturbed archaeological or palaeontological sites to an acceptable condition. If these conditions are attached to either a Class A or B Permit under the Territorial Lands Act INAC's directions will also be followed.
- 7) The permittee/proponent shall provide all information requested by CH concerning all archaeological sites or artifacts and all palaeontological sites and fossils encountered in the course of any land use activity.
- 8) The permittee/proponent shall make best efforts to ensure that all persons working under its authority are aware of these conditions concerning archaeological sites and artifacts and palaeontological sites and fossils.
- 9) If a list of recorded archaeological and/or palaeontological sites is provided to the permittee/proponent by CH as part of the review of the land use application the permittee/proponent shall avoid the archaeological and/or palaeontological sites listed.
- 10) Should a list of recorded sites be provided to the permittee/proponent, the information is provided solely for the purpose of the proponent's land use activities as described in the land use application, and must otherwise be treated confidentially by the proponent.

#### LEGAL FRAMEWORK

As stated in Article 33 of the *Nunavut Land Claims Agreement*:

Where an application is made for a land use permit in the Nunavut Settlement Area, and there are reasonable grounds to believe that there could be sites of archaeological importance on the lands affected, no land use permit shall be issued without written consent of the Designated Agency. Such consent shall not be unreasonably withheld. [33.5.12]

Each land use permit referred to in Section 33.5.12 shall specify the plans and methods of archeological site protection and restoration to be followed by the permit holder, and any other conditions the Designated Agency may deem fit. [33.5.13]

# Palaeontology and Archaeology

Under the *Nunavut Act*<sup>2</sup>, the federal government can make regulations for the protection, care and preservation of palaeontological and archaeological sites and specimens in Nunavut. Under the *Nunavut Archaeological and Palaeontological Sites Regulations*<sub>3</sub>, it is illegal to alter or disturb any palaeontological or archaeological site in Nunavut unless permission is first granted through the permitting process.

#### **Definitions**

As defined in the *Nunavut Archaeological and Palaeontological Sites Regulations*, the following definitions apply:

"archaeological site" means a place where an archaeological artifact is found.

"archaeological artifact" means any tangible evidence of human activity that is more than 50 years old and in respect of which an unbroken chain of possession or regular pattern of usage cannot be demonstrated, and includes a Denesuline archaeological specimen referred to in section 40.4.9 of the Nunavut Land Claims Agreement.

"palaeontological site" means a site where a fossil is found.

"fossil" includes:

Fossil means the hardened or preserved remains or impression of previously living organisms or vegetation and includes:

- (a) natural casts;
- (b) preserved tracks, coprolites and plant remains; and
- (c) the preserved shells and exoskeletons of invertebrates and the preserved eggs, teeth and bones of vertebrates

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> s. 51(1)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> P.C. 2001-1111 14 June, 2001

# GUIDELINES FOR DEVELOPERS FOR THE PROTECTION OF ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES IN THE NUNAVUT TERRITORY

(NOTE: Partial document only, complete document at: <a href="http://gov.nu.ca/cley/english/arch.html">http://gov.nu.ca/cley/english/arch.html</a>)

#### Introduction

The following guidelines have been formulated to ensure that the impacts of proposed developments upon heritage resources are assessed and mitigated before ground surface altering activities occur. Heritage resources are defined as, but not limited to, archaeological and historical sites, burial grounds, palaeontological sites, historic buildings and cairns Effective collaboration between the developer, the Department of Culture, Language, Elders and Youth (CH), and the contract archaeologist(s) will ensure proper preservation of heritage resources in the Nunavut Territory. The roles of each are briefly described.

CH is the Nunavut Government agency which oversees the protection and management of heritage resources in Nunavut, in partnership with land claim authorities, regulatory agencies, and the federal government. Its role in mitigating impacts of developments on heritage resources is as follows: to identify the need for an impact assessment and make recommendations to the appropriate regulatory agency; set the terms of reference for the study depending upon the scope of the development; suggest the names of qualified individuals prepared to undertake the study to the developer; issue an archaeologist or palaeontologist permit authorizing field work; assess the completeness of the study and its recommendations; and ensure that the developer complies with the recommendations.

The primary regulatory agencies that CH provides information and assistance to are the Nunavut Impact Review Board, for development activities proposed for Inuit Owned Lands (as defined in Section 1.1.1 of the Nunavut Land Claims Agreement), and the Department of Indian and Northern Affairs, for development activities proposed for federal Crown Lands.

A developer is the initiator of a land use activity. It is the obligation of the developer to ensure that a qualified archaeologist or palaeontologist is hired to perform the required study and that provisions of the contract with the archaeologist or palaeontologist allow permit requirements to be met; i.e. fieldwork, collections management, artifact and specimen conservation, and report preparation. On the recommendation of the contract archaeologist or palaeontologist in the field and the Government of Nunavut, the developer shall implement avoidance or mitigative measures to protect heritage resources or to salvage the information they contain through excavation, analysis, and report writing. The developer assumes all costs associated with the study in its entirety.

Through his or her active participation and supervision of the study, the contract archaeologist or palaeontologist is accountable for the quality of work undertaken and the quality of the report produced. Facilities to conduct fieldwork, analysis, and report preparation should be available to this individual through institutional, agency, or company affiliations. Responsibility for the curation of objects recovered during field work while under study and for documents generated in the course of the study as well as remittance of artifacts, specimens and documents to the repository specified on the permit accrue to the contract archaeologist or palaeontologist. This

individual is also bound by the legal requirements of the *Nunavut Archaeological and Palaeontological Sites Regulations*.

# **Types of Development**

In general, those developments that cause concern for the safety of heritage resources will include one or more of the following kinds of surface disturbances. These categories, in combination, are comprehensive of the major kinds of developments commonly proposed in Nunavut. For any single development proposal, several kinds of these disturbances may be involved

- Linear disturbances: including the construction of highways, roads, winter roads, transmission lines, and pipelines;
- Extractive disturbances: including mining, gravel removal, quarrying, and land filling;
- *Impoundment disturbances: including dams, reservoirs, and tailings ponds;*
- Intensive land use disturbances: including industrial, residential, commercial, recreational, and land reclamation work, and use of heritage resources as tourist developments.
- Mineral, oil and gas exploration: establishment of camps, temporary airstrips, access routes, well sites, or quarries all have potential for impacting heritage resources.

## Types of Studies Undertaken to Preserve Heritage Resources

**Overview:** An overview study of heritage resources should be conducted at the same time as the development project is being designed or its feasibility addressed. They usually lack specificity with regard to the exact location(s) and form(s) of impact and involve limited, if any, field surveys. Their main aim is to accumulate, evaluate, and synthesize the existing knowledge of the heritage of the known area of impact. The overview study provides managers with baseline data from which recommendations for future research and forecasts of potential impacts can be made. A Class I Permit is required for this type of study if field surveys are undertaken.

**Reconnaissance:** This is done to provide a judgmental appraisal of a region sufficient to provide the developer, the consultant, and government managers with recommendations for further development planning. This study may be implemented as a preliminary step to inventory and assessment investigations except in cases where a reconnaissance may indicate a very low or negligible heritage resource potential. Alternately, in the case of small-scale or linear developments, an inventory study may be recommended and obviate the need for a reconnaissance.

The main goal of a reconnaissance study is to provide baseline data for the verification of the presence of potential heritage resources, the determination of impacts to these resources, the generation of terms of reference for further studies and, if required, the advancement of preliminary mitigative and compensatory plans. The results of reconnaissance studies are primarily useful for the selection of alternatives and secondarily as a means of identifying

impacts that must be mitigated after the final siting and design of the development project. Depending on the scope of the study, a Class 1 or Class 2 Permit is required for this type of investigation.

**Inventory:** A resource inventory is generally conducted at that stage in a project's development at which the geographical area(s) likely to sustain direct, indirect, and perceived impacts can be well defined. This requires systematic and intensive fieldwork to ascertain the effects of all possible and alternate construction components on heritage resources. All heritage sites must be recorded on Government of Nunavut Site Survey forms. Sufficient information must be amassed from field, library and archival components of the study to generate a predictive model of the heritage resource base that will:

- allow the identification of research and conservation opportunities;
- enable the developer to make planning decisions and recognize their likely effects on the known or predicted resources; and
- make the developer aware of the expenditures, which may be required for subsequent studies and mitigation. A Class 1 or 2 permit is required

Assessment: At this stage, sufficient information concerning the numbers and locations of heritage resources will be available, as well as data to predict the forms and magnitude of impacts. Assessments provide information on the size, volume, complexity and content of a heritage resource, which is used to rank the values of different sites or site types given current archaeological knowledge. As this information will shape subsequent mitigation program(s), great care is necessary during this phase.

**Mitigation:** This refers to the amelioration of adverse impacts to heritage resources and involves the avoidance of impact through the redesign or relocation of a development or its components; the protection of the resource by constructing physical facilities; or, the scientific investigation and recovery of information from the resource by excavation or other method. The type(s) of appropriate mitigative measures are dictated by their viability in the context of the development project. Mitigation strategies must be developed in consultation with, and approved by, the Department of Culture, Language, Elders and Youth. It is important to note that mitigation activities should be initiated as far in advance of the construction of the development as possible.

**Surveillance and monitoring:** These may be required as part of the mitigation program.

Surveillance may be conducted during the construction phase of a project to ensure that the developer has complied with the recommendations.

*Monitoring* involves identification and inspection of residual and long-term impacts of a development (i.e. shoreline stability of a reservoir); or the use of impacts to disclose the presence of heritage resources, for example, the uncovering of buried sites during the construction of a pipeline.