

Environmental Assessment North
Environmental Protection Operations (EPO)
Qimugjuk Building 969
PO Box 1870
Iqaluit, NU X0A 0H0
Tel: (867) 975-4631
Fax: (867) 975-4645

19 March 2012

EC file: 4703 003 067
NWB file: 3BCC-HPI----

Phyllis Beaulieu
Manager of Licensing
Nunavut Water Board
PO Box 119
Gjoa Haven, NU X0B 1J0

Via email: licensing@nunavutwaterboard.org

**RE: 120217 3BC-HPI---- Canada-Nunavut Geoscience Office – New Application –
Qikitan Region**

Environment Canada (EC) has reviewed the information regarding the above-mentioned water license application, as submitted to the Nunavut Water Board (NWB). The following specialist advice has been provided pursuant to the *Canadian Environmental Protection Act 1999*, Section 36(3) of the *Fisheries Act*, the *Migratory Birds Convention Act*, and the *Species at Risk Act*.

The Canada-Nunavut Geoscience Office is applying to the NWB for a Type B water license to support a series of programs to improve mapping and better understand the potential for gold, precious metals, base metals, and rare earth elements in the Hall Peninsula. The proposed project intends to upgrade the existing geologic map coverage by completing regional bedrock mapping, assess mineral potential and the tectonic environment, map and sample surficial materials to determine ice flow history and geochemistry, and complete permafrost and terrain stability research. The program is proposed to occur from 1 June through 1 August in both 2012 and 2013 and potentially 2014. Project activities include the establishment of a temporary camp for 25 people; fuel storage, water use, greywater and sewage disposal, and incineration of combustible waste at the camp location; site access via ATV and helicopter; and rock sample collection for laboratory analysis.

Based on a review of the project proposal, EC provides the following comments for the NWB's consideration:

General

- The proponent shall not deposit, nor permit the deposit of chemicals, sediment, wastes, or fuels associated with the project into any water body. According to the *Fisheries Act*, Section 36 (3), the deposition of deleterious substances of any type in water frequented by fish, or in any place under any conditions where the deleterious substance, or any deleterious substance that results from the deposit of the deleterious substance, may enter any such water, is prohibited.
- All sumps, spill basins, and fuel caches should be located in such a manner as to ensure that their contents do not enter any water body, are to backfilled, and re-contoured to match the surrounding landscape when they are no longer required.

Fuel Spill Contingency Planning

- Refuelling shall not take place below the high water mark of any water body and shall be done in such a manner as to prevent any hydrocarbons from entering any water body frequented by fish. EC recommends that drip pans, or other similar preventative measures, should be used when refuelling equipment.
- A spill kit, including shovels, barrels, absorbents, etc. should be readily available at all locations where fuel is being stored or transferred in order to provide immediate response in the event of a spill and should accommodate 110% of the capacity of the largest fuel storage container.
- EC recommends that the proponent include a provision that drip pans be used when refuelling equipment on site in order to help prevent spills from occurring.
- Spills are to be documented and reported to the NWT/NU 24 hour Spill Line at (867)920-8130. EC recommends that all releases of harmful substances, regardless of quantity, are immediately reported where the release:
 - is near or into a water body;
 - is near or into a designated sensitive environment or sensitive wildlife habitat;
 - poses an imminent threat to human health or safety; or,
 - poses an imminent threat to a listed species at risk or its critical habitat.

Waste Disposal

- The burning of waste products releases numerous contaminants to the air, many of them persistent, bio-accumulative and toxic (e.g. polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons - PAH's - heavy metals, chlorinated organics – dioxins and furans). These contaminants can result in harmful impacts to human and wildlife health through direct inhalation and they can also be deposited to land and water, where they bio-accumulate through food chains affecting wildlife and country foods. Therefore, burning should only be considered after all other alternatives for waste disposal have been explored and the devices used for incineration meet the emission limits established under the CCME Canada-wide Standards (CWS) for Dioxins and Furans and the CWS for Mercury Emissions. The Government of Canada, the Governments of the Northwest Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon are signatories to these standards and are required to implement them according to their respective jurisdictional responsibilities.
- EC recommends the use of an approved incinerator for the disposal of combustible camp wastes. EC has developed a Technical Document for Batch Waste Incineration, and is available at the following web link:
<http://www.ec.gc.ca/gdd-mw/default.asp?lang=En&n=F53EDE13-1>
The technical document provides information on appropriate incineration technologies, best management and operational practices, monitoring and reporting. This information should be incorporated into an incineration management plan for the camp. EC would like the opportunity to review this plan prior to implementation.
- EC recommends that all residual waste from incineration (i.e. ashes) be thoroughly collected, removed from site, and disposed of at a suitable facility.
- The proponent states that non-combustible waste will be off-site for disposal. EC suggests that confirmation and authorization be obtained from the intended community landfill (i.e., Iqaluit) prior to shipment.
- For small temporary camps, sewage and greywater can be deposited in a sump or a pit which must be located at least 30 metres from the high water mark of any water body. Open pits should be regularly treated with lime to avoid attracting animals and for general pest/insect control. Upon cessation of the project, pits and sumps should be treated with lime and in-filled with soil.

Wildlife and Species at Risk

- The proposed area for the project overlaps the area of the Western Cumberland Sound Archipelago that has been identified as a terrestrial Key Migratory Bird Site (Site NU29 in Latour et al. 2008). This site is an important breeding area for Common Eiders, Black Guillemots, and Iceland Gulls. Several thousand Common Eiders also concentrate along the coasts and fiords in August and September. Birds are particularly sensitive to disturbance at these times. The boundaries for the project also overlap the Frobisher Bay Key Marine Habitat Site. The mouth of Frobisher Bay is considered an important feeding, staging and breeding area for over 15 species of marine birds. Specifically, Loks Land is thought to support Nunavut's largest known colony of Razorbills. Species at Risk such as Ivory Gull and Harlequin Duck may also be found in the area. A map indicating key habitat sites in the project area is provided below. The proponent should avoid activities during June to September in areas identified as the Key Migratory Bird Sites. This includes ensuring no low-level flights over this area at the times when birds are present.

For further information on the Western Cumberland Sound Archipelago Key Terrestrial Habitat Site, refer to Site NU29 (Page 70) in Latour, P.B., J. Leger, J.E. Hines, M.L. Mallory, D.L. Mulders, H.G. Gilchrist, P.A. Smith and D.L. Dickson. 2008. Key migratory bird terrestrial habitat sites in the Northwest Territories and Nunavut. 3rd edition. Canadian Wildlife Service Occasional Paper No. 114.


Available on-line at:

<http://www.ec.gc.ca/Publications/default.asp?lang=En&xml=4625F589-01A1-4A7B-BBCE-C8E36573B657>

For further information on the Frobisher Bay Key Marine Habitat Site, refer to Site 28 (Page 66) in Mallory, M.L and A.J. Fontaine. 2004. Key marine habitat sites for migratory birds in Nunavut and the Northwest Territories. Canadian Wildlife Service Occasional Paper No. 109.

Available on-line at:

<http://www.ec.gc.ca/Publications/default.asp?lang=En&xml=EDE64CAE-69CA-4C9A-9A6E-55757C59DF36>



- Section 6 (a) of the *Migratory Birds Regulations* states that no one shall disturb or destroy the nests or eggs of migratory birds. If active nests are encountered during project activities, the nesting area should be avoided until nesting is complete (i.e., the young have left the vicinity of the nest).
In the northern Arctic region of the Northwest Territories and Nunavut, migratory birds may be found incubating eggs from May 31 until August 4, and young birds can be present in the nest until August 28.
- Environment Canada recommends that food, domestic wastes, and petroleum-based chemicals (e.g., greases, gasoline, glycol-based antifreeze) be made inaccessible to wildlife at all times. Such items can attract predators of migratory birds such as foxes, ravens, gulls, and bears. Although these animals may initially be attracted to the novel food sources, they often will also eat eggs and young birds in the area. These predators can have significant negative effects on the local bird populations.
- In order to reduce aircraft disturbance to migratory birds, Environment Canada recommends the following:
 - Fly at times when few birds are present (e.g., early spring, late fall, winter)
 - If flights cannot be scheduled when few birds are present, plan flight paths that minimize flights over habitat likely to have birds and maintain a minimum flight altitude of 650 m (2100 feet).
 - Minimize flights during periods when birds are particularly sensitive to disturbance such as migration, nesting, and moulting.
 - Plan flight paths to avoid known concentrations of birds (e.g., bird colonies, moulting areas) by a lateral distance of at least 1.5 km. If avoidance is not possible, maintain a minimum flight altitude of 1100 m (3500 feet) over areas where birds are known to concentrate.
 - Avoid the seaward side of seabird colonies and areas used by flocks of migrating waterfowl by 3 km.
 - Avoid excessive hovering or circling over areas likely to have birds.
 - Inform pilots of these recommendations and areas known to have birds.

- The following comments are pursuant to the *Species at Risk Act* (SARA), which came into full effect on June 1, 2004. Section 79 (2) of SARA, states that during an assessment of effects of a project, the adverse effects of the project on listed wildlife species and its critical habitat must be identified, that measures are taken to avoid or lessen those effects, and that the effects need to be monitored. This section applies to all species listed on Schedule 1 of SARA. However, as a matter of best practice, Environment Canada suggests that species on other Schedules of SARA and under consideration for listing on SARA, including those designated as at risk by the Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada (COSEWIC), be considered during an environmental assessment in a similar manner. The Table below lists species that may be encountered in the project area that have been assessed by COSEWIC as well as their current listing on Schedules 1-3 of SARA (and designation if different from that of COSEWIC). Project impacts could include species disturbance, and attraction to operations.

Terrestrial Species at Risk potentially within project area ¹	COSEWIC Designation	Schedule of SARA	Government Organization with Primary Management Responsibility ²
Harlequin Duck (Eastern Population)	Special Concern	Schedule 1	Environment Canada
Peregrine Falcon (<i>anatum-tundrius</i> complex ³)	Special Concern	Schedule 1 (<i>anatum</i>) Schedule 3 (<i>tundrius</i>)	Government of Nunavut
Polar Bear	Special Concern	Schedule 1	Government of Nunavut
Wolverine (Western population)	Special Concern	Pending	Government of Nunavut

¹ The Department of Fisheries and Oceans has responsibility for aquatic species.

² Environment Canada (EC) has a national role to play in the conservation and recovery of Species at Risk in Canada, as well as responsibility for management of birds described in the Migratory Birds Convention Act (MBCA). Day-to-day management of terrestrial species not covered in the MBCA is the responsibility of the Territorial Government. Populations that exist in National Parks are also managed under the authority of the Parks Canada Agency.

³ The *anatum* subspecies of Peregrine Falcon is listed on Schedule 1 of SARA as threatened. The *anatum* and *tundrius* subspecies of Peregrine Falcon were reassessed by COSEWIC in 2007 and combined into one subpopulation complex. This subpopulation complex was listed by COSEWIC as Special Concern.

Environment Canada recommends:

- For any Species at Risk that could be encountered or affected by the project, the proponent should note any potential adverse effects of the project to the species, its habitat, and/or its residence. All direct, indirect, and cumulative effects should be considered. Refer to species status reports and other information on the Species at Risk registry at www.sararegistry.gc.ca for information on specific species.
- If Species at Risk are encountered or affected, the primary mitigation measure should be avoidance. The proponent should avoid contact with or disturbance to each species, its habitat and/or its residence.
- Monitoring should be undertaken by the proponent to determine the effectiveness of mitigation and/or identify where further mitigation is required. As a minimum, this monitoring should include recording the locations and dates of any observations of Species at Risk, behaviour or actions taken by the animals when project activities were encountered, and any actions taken by the proponent to avoid contact or disturbance to the species, its habitat, and/or its residence. This information should be submitted to the

appropriate regulators and organizations with management responsibility for that species, as requested.

- For species primarily managed by the Territorial Government, the Territorial Government should be consulted to identify other appropriate mitigation and/or monitoring measures to minimize effects to these species from the project.
- Mitigation and monitoring measures must be taken in a way that is consistent with applicable recovery strategies and action/management plans.
- Harlequin Ducks spend most of the year in coastal marine environments, but they move inland each spring to breed along fast-flowing turbulent streams. Their nests are usually built on the ground along the stream banks. Harlequin Ducks are tolerant of moderate levels of disturbance, but they will abandon a site when the disturbance becomes chronic. Disturbance events can include boating and chronic human presence. If a Harlequin Duck nest or a hen with ducklings is encountered, the proponent should avoid activities in the area until nesting is complete and the brood has moved beyond the range of disturbance.
- Observations of Harlequin Ducks should be reported to the Canadian Wildlife Service of Environment Canada through the NWT/NU Bird Checklist program.

NWT/NU Bird Checklist Survey

Canadian Wildlife Service, Environment Canada

5019 - 52 Street, 4th Floor

P.O. Box 2310

Yellowknife NT, X1A 2P7

Phone: 867.669.4773

Email: NWTChecklist@ec.gc.ca

Blank checklist survey forms are available at:

<http://www.ec.gc.ca/reom-mbs/default.asp?lang=En&n=D19D8726-1>

- All mitigation measures identified by the proponent, and the additional measures suggested herein, should be strictly adhered to in conducting project activities. This will require awareness on the part of the proponents' representatives (including contractors) conducting operations in the field. Environment Canada recommends that all field operations staff be made aware of the proponents' commitments to these mitigation measures and provided with appropriate advice / training on how to implement these measures.
- Implementation of these measures may help to reduce or eliminate some effects of the project on migratory birds and Species at Risk, but will not necessarily ensure that the proponent remains in compliance with the *Migratory Birds Convention Act*, *Migratory Birds Regulations*, and the *Species at Risk Act*. The proponent must ensure they remain in compliance during all phases and in all undertakings related to the project.

If there are any changes in the proposed project, EC should be notified, as further review may be necessary. Please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned with any questions or comments with regards to the foregoing at (867) 975-4631 or by email at Paula.C.Smith@ec.gc.ca

Yours truly,



Paula C. Smith

Environmental Assessment Coordinator

cc: Carey Ogilvie (Head, Environmental Assessment-North, EPO, Yellowknife, NT)
Allison Dunn (Sr. Environmental Assessment Coordinator, EPO, Iqaluit, NU)
James Hodson (Environmental Assessment Coordinator, CWS, Yellowknife, NT)
Ron Bujold (Environmental Assessment Officer, EPO, Yellowknife, NT)