

Application for Water Licence Renewal

Document Date: May 2011

Application Submission Date: <u>12/23/2013</u>

Month/Day/Year

P.O. BOX 119 GJOA HAVEN, NUNAVUT XOB 1J0

Tel: (867)360-6338 Fax: (867)360-6369 אבת איי ביים און אביים איי ביים איי ביים איי ביים און אביים אביים אביים אביים אביים אביים און אביים אביים אביים אביים און אביים אביים אביים און אביים אביים אביים אביים און אביים אביים

DOCUMENT MANAGEMENT

Original Document Date: April 2010

DOCUMENT AMENDMENTS

| | Description | Date |
|------|--|-----------|
| (1) | Updated for public distribution as separate document | June 2010 |
| (0) | from NWB Guide 7 | N4 0044 |
| (2) | Updated NWB logos and reformatted table to allow rows to break across page | May 2011 |
| (3) | | |
| (4) | | |
| (5) | | |
| (6) | | |
| (7) | | |
| (8) | | |
| (9) | | |
| (10) | | |



P.O. Box 119 GJOA HAVEN, NU X0B 1J0 Tel: (867) 360-6338 FAX: (867) 360-6369 NUNAVUT WATER BOARD
NUNAVUT IMALIRIYIN KATIMAYIT
OFFICE DES EAUX DU NUNAVUT

APPLICATION FOR WATER LICENCE RENEWAL

Your application may be classified as a **renewal** only if all operations remain the same as previously licensed and only the term of the licence requires change. If your application contemplates:

- a change to the volume of water authorized for use;
- a new activity related to water use or waste disposal;
- a new component related to water use or waste disposal;
- a change in predicted environmental impacts(s); and/or
- a change to any term or condition of the original licence

your application is **NOT** classified as a renewal but rather an amendment and will require submission of an Application for Water Licence Amendment. Licensees applying for combined renewal / amendment are also referred to the Application for Water Licence Amendment.

The applicant is referred to the NWB's Guide 7: <u>Licensee Requirements Following the Issuance of a Water Licensee</u> for more information about this application form.

| EXISTING LICENCE NO: 3BM-CAM 0914 |
|---|
| 1. LICENSEE CONTACT INFORMATION |
| Is the licensee the same as that referred to on the existing licence? |
| ✓ Yes □ No |
| If No, a licence assignment must be completed and approved by the NWB. A renewal will only be issued in the name of the current licensee in the absence of assignment of the licence. |
| If the licensee is the same, but the <u>name</u> of the licensee has changed, attach a certificate of name change. |
| Name: Hamlet of Cambridge Bay |
| Address: P.O Box 16, Cambridge Bay, Nunavut X0B 0C0 |
| Attn. Steve King, Senior Administrative Officer (SAO) |
| Phone: 867-983-4658 Fax: 867-983-2193 e-mail: sking@cambridgebay.ca |

| 2. | LICENSEE REPRESENTATIVE CONTACT INFORMATION – If different from Block 1. |
|--------------------------|---|
| | : Shah Alam, P. Eng. |
| | |
| Addres | ss: Municipal Planning Engineer Community and Government Services (CGS) Cambridge Bay, Helen Maksagak Centre, NU X0B 0C0 |
| | :: _ <u>867-983-4156</u> |
| | 867-983-4123 : <u>salam@gov.nu.ca</u> |
| (Attach | n authorization letter.) |
| 3. | NAME OF PROJECT |
| Is the n | ame of the project the same as that considered in the existing water licence? |
| | ✓ Yes No |
| Indicate | e the name of the project including the name of the location: |
| 4. | LOCATION OF UNDERTAKING |
| Is the I | location of the undertaking the same as that considered in the existing water licence? |
| Project | ✓ Yes No |
| NW: NE: SE: SW: | Latitude: (° ' "N) Longitude: (° ' "W) Latitude: (° ' "N) Longitude: (° ' "W) Latitude: (° ' "N) Longitude: (° ' "W) Latitude: (° ' "N) Longitude: (° ' "W) |
| Camp I | Location(s) |
| Latitud | de: (69 ° 07 '01 " N) Longitude: (105°03' 15" W) |
| 5. | MAP |
| Are the licence | locations of the main components of the undertaking the same as those considered in the existing ? |
| | ✓ Yes No |
| Attach a | a topographical map, indicating the main components of the undertaking. |
| NTS M | ap Sheet No.: Map Name: Map Scale: |
| | |

| ò. | NATURE OF INTEREST IN THE LAND | |
|----------|--|--|
| Is the r | nature of the interest in the land the same as that co | onsidered in the existing water licence? |
| | ✓ Yes | □No |
| | any of the following that are applicable to the propoe' header must be checked). | osed undertaking (at least one box under the |
| | Sub-surface | |
| | ☐ Mineral Lease from Nunavut Tunngavik Incorpo Date (expected date) of issuance: | |
| | ☐ Mineral Lease from Indian and Northern Affairs Date (expected date) of issuance: | |
| | Surface | |
| | Crown Land Use Authorization from Indian and Date (expected date) of issuance: | |
| | ☐ Inuit Owned Land (IOL) Authorization from Kitiki Date (expected date) of issuance: | |
| | ☐ IOL Authorization from Kivalliq Inuit Association Date (expected date) of issuance: | |
| | ☐ IOL Authorization from Qikiqtani Inuit Association Date (expected date) of issuance: | |
| | Commissioner's Land Use Authorization Date (expected date) of issuance: | Date of expiry: |
| | Other | |
| | Date (expected date) of issuance: | Date of expiry: |
| Is the r | name of the entity(s) holding authorizations the sam | e as that considered in the existing water licence |
| | ✓ Yes | □No |
| If No, a | a licence assignment must be completed and appro | ved by the NWB. |
| Name (| of entity(s) holding authorizations: | |

| 7. NUNAVUT PLANNING COMMISSION | (NPC) DETERMINATION |
|---|--|
| Is the undertaking located in the same land use | e planning area as that considered in the existing licence? |
| | ☑ Yes □ No |
| Indicate the land use planning area in which the | e project is located. |
| ☐ North Baffin☐ South Baffin☐ Akunniq | Keewatin Sanikiluaq West Kitikmeot |
| Was a land use plan conformity determination licence? | required from NPC prior to the issuance of the existing water |
| | ☐ Yes ☑ No |
| If Yes, indicate date issued and attach copy | |
| Does the proposed renewal change the original | al NPC conformity determination or the need to obtain one? |
| | ☐ Yes ☑ No |
| If Yes, indicate date issued (or expected) and a lf No, provide written confirmation from NPC co | attach a copyonfirming that a land use plan conformity review is not required. |
| 8. NUNAVUT IMPACT REVIEW BOARD | (NIRB) DETERMINATION |
| Was a screening determination required from I | NIRB prior to the issuance of the existing water licence? |
| | ☐ Yes ☑ No |
| If Yes, indicate date issued and attach copy | |
| Does the proposed renewal change the original | al NIRB screening determination or the need to obtain one? |
| | ☐ Yes ☑ No |
| If Yes, indicate date issued (or expected) and a lf No, provide written confirmation from NIRB of | attach a copyconfirming that a screening determination is not required. |
| 9. DESCRIPTION OF UNDERTAKING | |
| Is the description of the undertaking the same a | s that considered in the existing water licence? |
| | ✓ Yes □ No |
| List and attach plans and drawings or project pr | oposal. |
| | |
| | |
| | |

| 10. OPTIONS |
|---|
| Are the alternative methods and locations that were considered to carry out the project the same as those considered in the existing water licence? |
| ☐ Yes ✓ No |
| Provide a brief explanation of the alternative methods or locations that were considered to carry out the project. |
| 11. CLASSIFICATION OF PRIMARY UNDERTAKING |
| Is the primary undertaking the same as that considered in the existing water licence? |
| ✓ Yes □ No |
| Indicate the primary classification of undertaking by checking one of the following boxes. |
| ☐ Industrial ☐ Agricultural ☐ Mining and Milling (includes exploration/drilling/exploration camps) ☐ Conservation |
| ✓ Municipal (includes camps/lodges) ☐ Power ☐ Recreational ☐ Miscellaneous (describe below): |
| See Schedule II of the Northwest Territories Waters Regulations for Description of Undertakings. |
| 12. WATER USE |
| Is the type(s) of water use(s) the same as that considered in the existing water licence? |
| ✓ Yes No |
| Check the appropriate box(s) to indicate the type(s) of water use(s) being applied for. |
| ☑To obtain water for municipal purposes ☐ To obtain water for industrial purposes ☐ To cross a watercourse ☐ To alter the flow of, or store water ☐ Other: ☐ To divert a watercourse ☐ To modify the bed or bank of a watercourse ☐ Flood control |
| 13. QUANTITY OF WATER INVOLVED |
| Is the source of water the same as that considered in the existing licence? ☑ Yes ☐ No |
| Name of water source(s): Water Lake (show location(s) on map) |
| Is the quality of the water source and its available capacity the same as that considered in the existing licence? |
| |
| Describe the quality of the water source(s) and the available capacity(s): |

| Is the overall estimated quantity of water to be used the same as that considered in the existing licence? |
|---|
| ✓ Yes No |
| Provide the overall estimated quantity of water to be used: 236 m³/day |
| Are the quantity(s) of water to be used from each source the same as those considered in the existing licence? |
| ✓ Yes No |
| Provide the estimated quantity(s) of water to be used from each source: 86,200 cubic meter annually. |
| Are the quantity(s) of water to be used for each purpose the same as those considered in the existing licence? |
| ✓ Yes □ No |
| Provide the estimated quantities to be used for each purpose (camp, drilling, etc.): 86,200 cubic meter for drinking water, cleaning, fire protection and construction purposes |
| Are the method(s) of extraction the same as those considered in the existing licence? ✓ Yes □ No |
| Describe the method(s) of extraction: Use twin intake Pumphouse operate by 3-phase power line and a back-up generator, Heat trace line inside the150mm insulated HDPE pipe connected to suction pump Intake gratings net at the end of pipe submerged permanently inverted at 4.5 m depth from surface Air burst line attach with the water intake pipe for cleaning the clog of intake net. Intake water directly deliver to in-town elevated water tank through insulated & heat traced buried line using monitoring vaults and reheat stations. In future, Pumphouse intake water will be feed into water treatment plant through a holding tank and deliver to town using another storage tank of treated water at the treatment plant. |
| Are the quantity(s) of water returned to source(s) the same as those considered in the existing licence? |
| ✓ Yes ☐ No Estimated quantity(s) of water returned to source(s): water returns into the source resulting from snow melt in connected lakes and keeps continuity of water feed into the Water Lake. |
| Treated sewage water not exceeding 74,000 cubic meter annually returns to the ocean from all types of uses including residential, institutional and commercial facilities. |
| Are the quality(s) of water(s) returned to source(s) the same as those considered in the existing licence? |
| ✓ Yes No |
| Describe the quality(s) of water(s) returned to source(s): Effluent from sewage lagoon and solid waste facilities are retained inside during the whole winter until thaw in the summer then decant to secondary cell through granular filtration and allow natural remediation in presence of sun light and BOD facility Once attained contamination level within Maximum Allowable limit (MAC) through remediation process, effluent runs on wetland (where 2nd remediation takes place) and flows finally to ocean. Samples collects from monitoring stations and tests at Taiga Laboratory at Yellowknife (CAEAL approved). |

| 14. | WASTE | |
|---------|--|--|
| Are the | • | / or deposited the same as those considered in the existing ✓ Yes □ No |
| Check | the appropriate box(s) to indicate the typ | es of waste (s) generated and deposited. |
| | ✓ Sewage ✓ Solid Waste ✓ Hazardous ✓ Bulky Items/Scrap Metal ✓ Animal Waste ✓ Other (describe): used tires, waste v | ✓ Waste oil ☐ Greywater ✓ Sludges ✓ Contaminated soil and/or water voods, auto batteries, electric waste, plastic, papers etc. |
| 15. | QUANTITY AND QUALITY OF WASTE | INVOLVED |
| Are th | e quantity(s) of the types of wastes involv | ved the same as those considered in the existing licence? |
| | | ✓ Yes ☐ No |
| Are th | e composition(s) of the types of wastes in | nvolved the same as those considered in the existing licence? |
| Are th | | ✓ Yes ☐ No waste involved the same as those considered in the existing |
| Are th | | ✓ Yes □ No vaste involved the same as those considered in the existing ✓ Yes □ No |
| | ch type of waste indicated in Block 14, de tment and method of disposal. | escribe its composition, quantity in cubic meters/year, method |

| Type of Waste | Composition | Quantity Generated | Treatment Method | Disposal Method |
|----------------|---|-----------------------|---|---|
| Sewage | 90%-95% water | 70,300 | Retention for 360 days, then discharge for natural remediation | Pumping |
| Solid waste | Food, paper, can, wood, plastic, cloth etc. | 11,600 | Composting & compact and push down, cover with waste mix soil and granular. | House hold bin and collect by covered truck and dump on pile at location. |
| Hazardous | Battery, paint, switch, antifreeze, bulb etc. | 730 | Store in wooden box with plastic around until ship out | Ship to south with contractor |
| Bulky Items | Auto body, snow mobile, metal door, window, electronic etc. | 1,820 | Pile on cell and smash to smaller pieces before cover with cover materials | Reusable items put in seacan and send by barge |
| Animal waste | Meat, bone, skin of caribou, muskak, char | 50 | Cover with granular cover materials | Store in cell on site |
| Waste oil | Engine oil, heating oil | 150 | Store in container with cover until ship out | Ship in container |

| 16. OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS | |
|---|----|
| In addition to the sub-surface and surface land use authorizations provided in Block 6, are the same authorizations required as considered in the existing licence? N/A | |
| ☐ Yes ☐ No | |
| For each provide the following: | |
| Authorization: | |
| Administering Agency: | |
| Project Activity: | |
| Date (expected date) of issuance: Date of expiry: | |
| 17. PREDICTED ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS OF UNDERTAKING AND PROPOSED MITIGATION MEASURES | |
| Are predicted environmental impacts of the undertaking and proposed mitigation measures the same as those considered in the existing water licence? | |
| ✓ Yes □ No | |
| Describe direct, indirect, and cumulative impacts related to water and waste. | |
| No impact to environment in discharging sewage waste or dumping solid waste at the respective facility. Environment Canada (EC) pointed that effluent standards for sewage treatment should meet parameters set in the guidelines for discharge in the NWT and should met at the final discharge point of Sewage Lagoon (end-of-pipe) and not at the end of wetland. NWB limits the quality of effluent for the lagoon discharge that was consistent to the previous Licence. | |
| Sewage Waste : Installation of new berm allows the sewage lagoon 10m water level which results an approximate capacity of over 190,000 m3 from the previous capacity of 72,000 m3. It also increased the retention time in the lagoon for over 360 days. | |
| Solid waste and landfill: The solid waste site has been improved with new isolation cells for hazardous, carcass/ honey bag, metal, waste oil, batteries, tires, wood waste and general municipal waste with perimeter fence within the new facility. Monitoring station with 4-video surveillance cameras at the entrance, employed operator for dump recording and monitoring the facility. Heavy metals were segregated, broken into smaller and buried with cover materials and hazardous materials | |
| were shipped out and new liner cells constructed for hazardous & spills storage at the old site. | |
| 18. WATER RIGHTS OF EXISTING AND OTHER WATER USERS | |
| Are the effects of the undertaking on any known persons or property including those that hold licences for water use in precedence to the application, domestic users, in-stream users, authorized waste depositors, owners property, occupiers of property, and/or holders of outfitting concessions, registered trapline holders, and holders of other rights of a similar nature, the same as those considered in the existing water licence? | of |
| ☐ Yes ☑ No | |
| Provide the names, addresses and nature of use for any known persons or properties that may be adverse affected by the proposed undertaking, including those that hold licences for water use in precedent to the | |

| application, domestic users, in-stream users, authorized waste depositors, owners of property, occupiers of property, and/or holders of outfitting concessions, registered trapline holders, and holders of other rights of a similar nature. |
|---|
| Advise the Board if compensation has been paid and/or agreement(s) for compensation have been reached with any existing or other users. |
| 19. INUIT WATER RIGHTS |
| Are the effects of the undertaking on the quality, quantity or flow of waters flowing through Inuit Owned Land (IOL) the same as those considered in the existing water licence? |
| ✓ Yes □ No |
| Advise the Board of any substantial affect of the quality, quantity or flow of waters flowing through Inuit Owned Land (IOL), and advise the Board if negotiations have commenced or an agreement to pay compensation for any loss or damage has been reached with one or more Designated Inuit Organization (DIO). |
| 20. CONSULTATION - Provide a summary of any consultation meetings including when the meetings were held, where and with whom. Include a list of concerns expressed and measures to address concerns. |
| Consultation happened time to time with CHARS (Canadian High Arctic Research Station), a possible new user of about 16 m3 per day (5,500m3/year) expecting from 2017 with high pressure line. Current plan of Water Treatment plant includes the option of high pressure line and direct delivery to house tank or tape instead of truck delivery, expecting from 2016. A last discussion with CHARS on Dec 19, 2013 concluded a high pressure |
| line addition from current planned high pressure main. The complete system and maintenance/management will remain under Hamlets current water Licence. Note that, inclusion of CHARS and high pressure upgrade will not affect the annual quantity, but might reduce the water waste for cleaning trucks and tank. |
| will remain under Hamlets current water Licence. Note that, inclusion of CHARS and high pressure upgrade will |
| will remain under Hamlets current water Licence. Note that, inclusion of CHARS and high pressure upgrade will not affect the annual quantity, but might reduce the water waste for cleaning trucks and tank. |
| will remain under Hamlets current water Licence. Note that, inclusion of CHARS and high pressure upgrade will not affect the annual quantity, but might reduce the water waste for cleaning trucks and tank. 21. SECURITY INFORMATION |
| will remain under Hamlets current water Licence. Note that, inclusion of CHARS and high pressure upgrade will not affect the annual quantity, but might reduce the water waste for cleaning trucks and tank. 21. SECURITY INFORMATION Is the financial security assessment the same as that considered in the existing water licence? Not applicable Yes No Is the estimate of the total financial security for final reclamation the same as that considered in the existing water licence? |
| will remain under Hamlets current water Licence. Note that, inclusion of CHARS and high pressure upgrade will not affect the annual quantity, but might reduce the water waste for cleaning trucks and tank. 21. SECURITY INFORMATION Is the financial security assessment the same as that considered in the existing water licence? Not applicable Yes No Is the estimate of the total financial security for final reclamation the same as that considered in the existing water |
| will remain under Hamlets current water Licence. Note that, inclusion of CHARS and high pressure upgrade will not affect the annual quantity, but might reduce the water waste for cleaning trucks and tank. 21. SECURITY INFORMATION Is the financial security assessment the same as that considered in the existing water licence? Not applicable Yes No Is the estimate of the total financial security for final reclamation the same as that considered in the existing water licence? Yes No Provide an estimate of the total financial security for final reclamation equal to the total outstanding reclamation liability for land and water combined sufficient to cover the highest liability over the life of the undertaking. Estimates of reclamation costs must be based on the cost of having the necessary reclamation work done by a third party contractor if the operator defaults. The estimate must also include contingency factors appropriate to |
| will remain under Hamlets current water Licence. Note that, inclusion of CHARS and high pressure upgrade will not affect the annual quantity, but might reduce the water waste for cleaning trucks and tank. 21. SECURITY INFORMATION Is the financial security assessment the same as that considered in the existing water licence? Not applicable YesNo Is the estimate of the total financial security for final reclamation the same as that considered in the existing water licence? YesNo Provide an estimate of the total financial security for final reclamation equal to the total outstanding reclamation liability for land and water combined sufficient to cover the highest liability over the life of the undertaking. Estimates of reclamation costs must be based on the cost of having the necessary reclamation work done by a third party contractor if the operator defaults. The estimate must also include contingency factors appropriate to the particular work to be undertaken. Where applicable, the financial security assessment should be prepared in a manner consistent with the principals respecting mine site reclamation and implementation found in the Mine Site Reclamation Policy for Nunavut, |
| will remain under Hamlets current water Licence. Note that, inclusion of CHARS and high pressure upgrade will not affect the annual quantity, but might reduce the water waste for cleaning trucks and tank. 21. SECURITY INFORMATION Is the financial security assessment the same as that considered in the existing water licence? Not applicable |
| will remain under Hamlets current water Licence. Note that, inclusion of CHARS and high pressure upgrade will not affect the annual quantity, but might reduce the water waste for cleaning trucks and tank. 21. SECURITY INFORMATION Is the financial security assessment the same as that considered in the existing water licence? Not applicable |

| Is the list of the officers of the company the same as those considered in the existing water licence? |
|--|
| ☐ Yes ☐ No Provide a list of the officers of the company. |
| Is the Certificate of Incorporation or evidence of registration of the company name the same? |
| ☐ Yes ☐ No |
| Attach a copy of the Certificate of Incorporation or evidence of registration of the company name. |
| 23. STUDIES UNDERTAKEN TO DATE |
| List and attach updated studies, reports, research etc. ✓ No studies or research and no report required related to water & waste |
| Provide a compliance assessment and status report including a response to any inspector's reports. The license must contact the NWB for licence specific direction in completing the assessment and report. |
| If in non-compliance, a licence may not be issued until compliance is achieved. If in non-compliance, attach plans/reports for consideration. Application will not be processed if significant issues of non-compliance exist. |
| 24. PROPOSED TIME SCHEDULE |
| Is the time schedule for all phases of development (construction, operations, closure and post closure) the same that considered in the existing licence? |
| ✓Yes □ No |
| Indicate the proposed start and completion dates for each applicable phase of development (construction, operation, closure, and post closure). |
| Construction: New Pump house and intake Line (Ph-1) |
| Proposed Start Date: June, 2012 Proposed Completion Date: Aug, 2014 (month/year) (month/year) |
| Operation Proposed Start Date: Proposed Completion Date: |
| (month/year) (month/year) Closure |
| Proposed Start Date: Proposed Completion Date: (month/year) (month/year) |
| Post - Closure Proposed Start Date: Proposed Completion Date: |
| (month/year) (month/year) (month/year) |
| For each applicable phase of development indicate which season(s) activities occur. |
| Construction: ☐ Winter ☐ Spring ☑ Summer ☑ Fall ☐ All season |
| Operation: ☐ Winter ☐ Spring ☐ Summer ☐ Fall ☑ All season |
| <u>Closure</u> |

| ☐ Winter ☐ Spring ☐ Summer ☐ Fall ☐ All season | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Post - Closure Winter Spring Summer Fall All season | | | | | |
| 25. PROPOSED TERM OF LICENCE | | | | | |
| On what date does the existing licence expire? April 30, 2014 | | | | | |
| Indicate the proposed term of the renewal (maximum of 25 years): Five (5) years | | | | | |
| Requested date of renewal issuance: April, 2014 Requested Expiry Date: March 2018 (month/year) | | | | | |
| (The requested date of renewal issuance must be <u>at least</u> three (3) months from the date of application for a type B water licence and <u>at least</u> one (1) year from the date of application for a type A water licence, to allow for processing of the water licence application. These timeframes are approximate and do not account for the time to complete any pre-licensing land use planning or development impact requirements, time for the applicant to prepare and submit a water licence application in accordance with any project specific guidelines issued by the NWB, or the time for the applicant to respond to requests for additional information. See the NWB's <i>Guide 5: Processing Water Licence Applications</i> for more information) | | | | | |
| 26. ANNUAL REPORTING | | | | | |
| Is the annual report template expected to be the same as that considered in the existing licence? | | | | | |
| ✓ Yes □ No | | | | | |
| If not using the NWB's <u>Standardized Form for Annual Reporting</u> , provide details regarding the content of annual reports and a proposed outline or template of the annual report. | | | | | |
| 27. CHECKLIST | | | | | |
| The following must be included with the application for renewal for the water licensing process to begin. | | | | | |
| Completed Application for Water Licence Renewal form. | | | | | |
| ✓ Yes | | | | | |
| Updated plans, including designs and reports (see Block 23). | | | | | |
| ✓ Yes ☐ No If no, date expected | | | | | |
| Updated security assessment (see Block 21). | | | | | |
| ☐ Yes ☑ No If no, date expected <u>Not applicable</u> | | | | | |
| Updated financial statement (see Block 22). | | | | | |
| ☐ Yes ✓ No If no, date expected <u>not applicable</u> | | | | | |
| Compliance Assessment / Status Report (see Block 23). | | | | | |

| ☐ Ye | s | ☑ No | If no, date expected _Not applicable | |
|---|---|------|---|--|
| Englis | Summary of Renewal Application. | | | |
| ☑ Ye | es | □No | If no, date expected | |
| Inukti | nuktitut and/or Inuinnaqtun Summary of Renewal Application. | | | |
| ☐ Ye | S | ☑ No | If no, date expectedby January 31, 2014 | |
| Applic | Application fee of \$30.00 CDN (Payee Receiver General for Canada). | | | |
| ☑ Ye | s | ☐ No | If no, date expected | |
| Water Use Fee Deposit of \$30.00 CDN (Payee Receiver General for Canada). The actual water use fee will be calculated by the NWB based upon the amount of water authorized for use in accordance with the Regulations at the time of issuance of the licence. | | | | |
| ☐ Ye | s | ☑ No | If no, date expected Not applicable | |
| 28. SIGNATURE I, Steve King (print name) certify that the application requires no changes to water use or waste disposal as previously authorized and that the information given on this form is, to the best of my knowledge, correct and complete. Signature Date | | | | |