

**From:** [David Hohnstein](#)  
**To:** ["Roy, Bhabesh"](#); ["Phyllis Beaulieu"](#); ["Eno, Robert"](#); ["Reinhart, Froydis"](#)  
**Cc:** ["Strong, Gary"](#); [Dionne](#); [Stephen Lines](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Cape Dorset Emergency Response Plan inquiry  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 26, 2009 2:07:38 PM

---

Good afternoon Bhabesh,

I am acknowledging receipt of your email from yesterday containing the two documents:

- 1) Schedule 2 of the Cape Dorset Water Licence that identifies the required revisions to the 2007 O&M Manual; and
- 2) A copy of the Emergency Response Plan (Generic)

In our preceding telephone conversation, I understood that you were wanting me to give a quick review of the Response Plan as it pertains to the requirements of the Licence and if it were applicable as a component of the O&M for the purpose of Spill Contingency Planning. I may have been mistaken, so please correct me if I am wrong.

Schedule 2 was provided to give reference to the requirements under the Licence? I'm unsure of the comments written in the column, but it appears as they are your notes indicating where in the Licence they apply. Item 9 indicates the minimal revisions required with the submission.

In reviewing the response plan, the first thing that I noted is that it is a "generic" plan, applicable to any community, with the required fill in the blank changes. Unfortunately, in giving the plan a quick glance over, there does not appear to be any content that would sufficiently meet the minimum revision requirements for the Spill Plan (within the Cape Dorset O&M Manual) for Cape Dorset as detailed in Schedule 2, item 9, in fact this plan is lacking information already included in the SCP section of the O&M Manual and would result in a significant setback to the plan already in place. The one item included that actually meets SCP requirements is the inclusion of the 24hr Spill Report Line (NWT/Nunavut) within the back of the document with the contact tables. However, there is no reference as to when the number should be called or why? In fact the word "spill" was only found in the email correspondence included with the document, under the duties of the municipal works foreman, and in the contact table for the 24hr spill line.

Perhaps the other folks at GN DOE have some additional comments to provide? As was indicated in the letter of June 26, 2009, sent in response to the submission of the "revised" O&M Manual, specific components of a workable Spill Contingency Plan are still outstanding with the O&M Manual. These I believe were clearly outlined in the letter and as well in Schedule 2.

If you have any further questions, please feel free to give me a call at your convenience.

Regards,

Dave

---

**From:** Roy, Bhabesh [mailto:RBhabesh@GOV.NU.CA]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 25, 2009 12:01 PM  
**To:** Phyllis Beaulieu; Eno, Robert; dts@nunavutwaterboard.org; Reinhart, Froydis  
**Cc:** Strong, Gary

**Subject:**

I am trying again. Please confirm if receive.

Thanks.

**Bhabesh Roy, M.A.Sc., P.Eng.**

Municipal Planning Engineer  
Community Government Services  
Baffin Region, Government of Nunavut  
P.O.Box 379, Pond Inlet, X0A 0S0  
Ph-867 899 7314  
Fax-867 899 7328  
E-mail: [broy@gov.nu.ca](mailto:broy@gov.nu.ca)