## Kugaaruk, NU Wetland Treatment Area Assessment Report

Hamlet of Kugaaruk

October 6, 2009

Kugaaruk, NU – Wetland Treatment Area Assessment Report

Government of Nunavut – Department of Community and Government Services

Gary Strong - Project Manager

Submitted by

## **Dillon Consulting Limited**

R:\PROJECTS\DRAFT\054755\Manuals & Plans\Wetland Treatment Area Assessment Report\Submission to NWB\Wetland Treatment Area Assessment Report - Submission to NWB.doc

(In reply, please refer to)
Our File: 05-4755

October 6, 2009

Nunavut Water Board P.O. Box 119 Gjoa Haven, NU X0B 1J0

Attention: Phyllis Beaulieu, Manager of Licensing

Re: Wetland Treatment Area Assessment Report - Kugaaruk, NU

Dear Ms. Beaulieu:

Please find enclosed a copy of the Wetland Treatment Area Assessment Report as required by the water licence issued to the Hamlet of Kugaaruk (Licence Number: 3BM-PEL0712). The enclosed report discusses aspects of the Wetland Treatment Area such as its design, site ecology, treatment performance expectations and possible improvement opportunities. Should you require any further information please do not hesitate to contact me at (867) 920-4555 or by email at <a href="mailto:gstrong@dillon.ca">gstrong@dillon.ca</a>.

Yours truly,

Dillon Consulting Limited

Gary Strong, P. Eng Project Manager

GS/encl.

R:\PROJECTS\DRAFT\054755\Manuals & Plans\Wetland Treatment Area Assessment Report\Submission to NWB\Wetland Treatment Area Assessment Report - Submission to NWB.doc



4920

47th Street

Suite 303

Box 1409

Yellowknife

Northwest Territories

Canada

X1A 2P1

Telephone

(867) 920-4555

Fax

(867) 873-3328

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page No.
1	INTR	CODUCTION1
	1.1	General1
	1.2	Community Setting
	1.3	Scope of Work
2	BAC	KGROUND1
	2.1	Existing System1
	2.2	Community Population and Sewage Production
3	WET	LAND DESIGN CALCULATIONS2
	3.1	Water Quality Standards2
	3.2	Wetland Area Calculations
	3.3	Hydraulic Loading Calculations
	3.4	Organic Loading Rates
	3.5	Preliminary Sampling Results
4	SITE	ECOLOGY AND PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS5
	4.1	Vegetation5
	4.2	Site Characteristics9
5	MON	IITORING PROGRAM9
6	PERI	FORMANCE EXPECTATIONS9
7	CON	CLUSIONS & RECOMMENDATIONS
8	REFI	ERENCES 11

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1: Effluent Standards for Discharge from Sewage Lagoon	2
Table 3.2: Effluent Standards for Discharge from Wetland Treatment Area	3
Table 3.3. Preliminary Sampling Results	4
LIST OF FIGURES	
Figure 4-1. Wetland Vegetation	5
Figure 4-2. Wetland Vegetation	6
Figure 4-3. Wetland Vegetation	6
Figure 4-4. Wetland Vegetation	7
Figure 4-5. Wetland Vegetation	
Figure 4-6. Wetland Vegetation	8
Figure 4-7. Wetland Vegetation	8

### LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX A: Wetland Surface Area Calculations, Hydraulic & Organic Loading Rate Calculations

APPENDIX B: Record Drawings

#### 1 INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 General

Dillon Consulting Limited (Dillon) has been retained by the Department of Community and Government Services (CGS), Government of Nunavut, to design waste facility alternatives for the Hamlet of Kugaaruk, formerly known as Pelly Bay. Construction of the sewage lagoon and wetland treatment facility was completed in August 2008.

#### 1.2 Community Setting

The Hamlet of Kugaaruk is located 68.52° north latitude and 89.9° west longitude in central Nunavut. This places Kugaaruk along the east coast of Pelly Bay, which is roughly nine hundred and sixty kilometers (960 km) west of the capital of Iqaluit.

The annual snowfall in Kugaaruk is approximately 125 cm and the annual rainfall is approximately 11 cm. In January the daily mean temperature is approximately -33°C while in July the daily mean temperature is approximately 6°C. Freeze up usually occurs during the month of November but may happen as early as September or October while spring thaw usually happens between late May and June.

#### 1.3 Scope of Work

The purpose of this report is to discuss the following aspects of the wetland treatment area:

- Design
- Site Ecology
- Monitoring Program
- Treatment Performance Expectations
- Potential Improvement Opportunities

#### 2 BACKGROUND

#### 2.1 Existing System

The community uses trucked services for both water delivery and sewage collection. The Hamlet continues to use a two cell sewage lagoon system that began operation about 14 years ago. The original lagoon was designed as a single cell. The second cell was only constructed later as an ad hoc addition to the system by hamlet crews and does not have much capacity. The system developed leaks and attempts were made to reinforce the berms surrounding the cells in the summer of 2004. Subsequent to the repairs, the leaking was reduced however the effluent continued to leak from the system at an elevated rate.

The lagoon is decanted throughout the summer and fall, starting at spring break-up and all effluent is discharged to the wetland leading to the ocean. Decant is to be maintained at a slow pace so that discharge is even throughout the season. The wetland had not been considered as part of the treatment process until

recently, when the water licence was renewed as part of the regulatory requirements for construction of a new lagoon facility. In order for the wetland to remain as part of the effluent treatment process, effluent discharged from the wetland must meet water quality standards as set out in the water licence.

The new lagoon cell has been designed to store and treat the Hamlet's sewage for the next 20 years. Construction of the lagoon and wetland treatment area was completed in August 2008.

#### 2.2 Community Population and Sewage Production

In February 2007, Dillon Consulting Limited produced a report entitled *Sewage and Solid Waste Sites*, Kugaaruk, NU - Detailed Design, Phase 2. This report predicts that the population of Kugaaruk will be 1127 people in the year 2028. Using the formula (Water Usage (Vc/d) = 90 Vc/d x (1.0 + 0.00023 x population)) as required by the Department of Municipal and Community Affairs (MACA), the annual sewage volume for 1127 people was calculated to be approximately 46,600m<sup>3</sup>. The new lagoon cell was designed based upon this information.

#### 3 WETLAND DESIGN CALCULATIONS

#### 3.1 Water Quality Standards

The Hamlet of Kugaaruk wishes to use the natural wetland between the sewage lagoon and the ocean as a secondary means of sewage effluent treatment. The Nunavut Water Board has accepted this proposal, however effluent discharged from the wetland must meet certain water quality objectives. Based on the current water licence (Licence Number: 3BM-PEL0712) for the Hamlet of Kugaaruk, effluent quality discharged from both the sewage lagoon and the wetland are required to meet different standards. Table 3.1 and Table 3.2 below display the quality standards that must be met.

Table 3.1: Effluent Standards for Discharge from Sewage Lagoon

Parameter	Maximum Average Concentration
BOD₅	120 mg/L
Total Suspended Solids	180 mg/L
Fecal Coliforms	1x10 <sup>4</sup> CFU/100mL
Oil and Grease	No visible sheen
рН	Between 6 and 9

Doromotor	Maximum Average
Parameter	Concentration
BOD <sub>5</sub>	45 mg/L
Total Suspended Solids	45 mg/L
Fecal Coliforms	1x10⁴ CFU/100mL
Oil and Grease	No visible sheen
pН	Between 6 and 9

Table 3.2: Effluent Standards for Discharge from Wetland Treatment Area

#### 3.2 Wetland Area Calculations

The most important characteristic that determines effluent treatment capability is the available area of the wetland. To determine the area required for effective removal of BOD<sub>5</sub> and TSS, the methodology outlined in *Guidelines for the Approval and Design of Natural and Constructed Treatment Wetlands for Water Quality Improvement* (Alberta Environment, 2000) was used. Employing current water use estimations and the Alberta model, an area of approximately  $6400\text{m}^2$  is needed in order to treat the annual sewage volume up to the year 2028 to the standards stated in the Hamlet's water licence. The width of the wetland is approximately 100m and the distance from the discharge point of the lagoon to the ocean edge is approximately 160m. Therefore an area of  $16,000\text{m}^2$  is available, much more than is required based on the Alberta Environment model. Please refer to Appendix A for detailed surface area calculations.

#### 3.3 Hydraulic Loading Calculations

Hydraulic loading rates are used to determine the volume of effluent that can be discharged to the wetland on a daily basis. Guidelines for cold climate wetlands are to use loading rates from 100 to 200 m³/ha\*d (Heinke *et al.*, 1993). However further studies have shown that loading rates as high as 430 m³/ha\*d have been used in northern climates and that treatment performance of the wetland relies heavily on a variety of factors (physical characteristics, seasonal variations, etc.) rather than solely on loading rates (Dillon Consulting, 1997).

Hydraulic loading rates are calculated using the following formula:

$$HydraulicLoadingRate \left(\frac{m^3}{ha \cdot d}\right) = \frac{DesignFlow(m^3 / d)}{Area(ha)}$$

Based on a 90 day discharge period and a wetland area of 1.6 ha, the estimated hydraulic loading rate for the 2009 treatment season is 196.6 m<sup>3</sup>/ha\*d. Using the same parameters, the estimated hydraulic loading rate for the 2028 treatment season is 323.6 m<sup>3</sup>/ha\*d. Please refer to Appendix A for detailed calculations.

#### 3.4 Organic Loading Rates

Organic loading rates are not considered to be a critical design criterion when developing wetland treatment systems. They are, however, used as a check to ensure that adequate aerobic conditions exist within the wetland (Dillon Consulting, 1997). Heinke *et al.* (1993) proposes that organic loading rates should not exceed 8 kg BOD<sub>5</sub>/ha\*d.

Organic loading rates are calculated using the following formulas:

$$OrganicMatter\left(BOD_5 \frac{kg}{m^3}\right) = \frac{BOD_5(mg/l) \times 1000l/m^3}{1,000,000mg/kg}$$

$$OrganicLoadingRate \left(\frac{kgBOD_{5}}{ha \cdot d}\right) = \frac{OrganicMatter \left(BOD_{5}kg \mid m^{3}\right) \times DesignFlow \left(m^{3} \mid d\right)}{Area(ha)}$$

Based on a  $BOD_5$  value of 120 mg/l (the maximum concentration of  $BOD_5$  to be discharged from the lagoon as set by the Nunavut Water Board), the organic loading rate for the 2009 treatment season is estimated to be 23.6 kg  $BOD_5/ha*d$ . Using the same  $BOD_5$  concentration, the estimated organic loading rate for the 2028 treatment season is 38.8 kg  $BOD_5/ha*d$ .

#### 3.5 Preliminary Sampling Results

In August 2007, construction of the new lagoon was underway and sewage was being deposited into the smaller temporary holding cell so as not to interfere with lagoon construction. Overflow from the holding cell was allowed to spill into the wetland area for further treatment. Two sewage effluent samples were obtained from the holding cell and two more were obtained from the wetland. The results of this analysis are listed in Table 3.3 below.

Wetland Sewage Lagoon **Test Parameter** Units Sample 1 (AM) Sample 2 (PM) Sample 1 (AM) Sample 2 (PM) Ammonia as Nitrogen, NH<sub>3</sub>-N 91.8 mg/L 93.8 56.4 57.4 Biological Oxygen Demand, BOD<sub>5</sub> mg/L 206 23 16 230 Total Suspended Solids, TSS mg/L 1160 1020 33 27 Fecal Coliforms, FC CFU/100 mL 3.5E+063.1E+0621000 43000

**Table 3.3. Preliminary Sampling Results** 

As shown above, values for BOD<sub>5</sub>, total suspended solids (TSS) and fecal coliforms from the holding cell exceeded the set maximum discharge values from the lagoon. Samples taken from the wetland for BOD<sub>5</sub> and TSS are well below the set discharge values for effluent discharged from the wetland. Fecal coliform levels were reduced in the wetland, however, they still exceeded the maximum discharge values.

### 4 SITE ECOLOGY AND PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS

#### 4.1 Vegetation

Vegetation in the wetland is composed mainly of sedges, grasses, mosses and lichens. Since the wetland developed as a result of effluent leaching from the berm wall of the lagoon, all vegetation is natural and indigenous to Kugaaruk. However, no extensive vegetative sampling has been completed to determine the exact species and number of plants. The figures below show pictures of vegetation that is growing in the wetland.



Figure 4-1. Wetland Vegetation



Figure 4-2. Wetland Vegetation



Figure 4-3. Wetland Vegetation



Figure 4-4. Wetland Vegetation



Figure 4-5. Wetland Vegetation




Figure 4-6. Wetland Vegetation




Figure 4-7. Wetland Vegetation

#### 4.2 Site Characteristics

The wetland is located on the slope between the sewage lagoon and the ocean. During the spring, summer and fall months, sewage will be decanted from the lagoon into the smaller holding cell downstream of the lagoon. Once the holding cell is full, effluent will overflow the rip-rap berm wall of the cell and discharge into the wetland. As shown in Figures 4-1 to 4-7, the wetland has a relatively rough terrain and fairly gentle slope. The many rocks and channels force the effluent to spread across the wetland thus increasing the contact and retention time of the effluent. Greater contact time increases the amount of treatment the effluent receives.

#### 5 MONITORING PROGRAM

Sampling of effluent from the wetland will be performed three times during each discharge period; once during spring break-up, once during mid-summer and once before freeze-up. Three different locations pertaining to the wetland will be sampled during each sampling event. These stations are labeled PEL-3-2, PEL-4 and PEL-5. Station PEL-3-2 is located at the discharge point of effluent from the lagoon into the wetland. Station PEL-4 is located at the discharge point of effluent from the wetland into the ocean and station PEL-5 is located in the ocean, 5m from PEL-4. Further details for the sampling program can be found in the Operation and Maintenance Manual for the Hamlet's sewage lagoon.

#### 6 PERFORMANCE EXPECTATIONS

Based on preliminary sampling results during construction of the sewage lagoon, the wetland is expected to treat effluent to well below the set discharge values in the Hamlet's water licence. Effluent from the holding cell had BOD<sub>5</sub> and TSS concentrations well above the expected discharge concentrations from the sewage lagoon and the wetland was still able to reduce these concentrations to below acceptable levels. Fecal coliform concentrations are also expected to be lower in discharge from the sewage lagoon, however, based on the set discharge concentrations in the water licence fecal coliform values are not required to decrease after wetland treatment. Based on preliminary sampling results, wetland treatment will decrease fecal coliform values.

Using the Alberta Environment (2000) design guidelines, there is enough area in the current wetland to treat effluent in the 2009 treatment season continuing up to the 2028 treatment season. When considering the hydraulic loading rates, the calculated rate for the 2009 discharge period is within the guidelines. However, the calculated rate for the 2028 discharge period is somewhat higher. As previous studies have shown, hydraulic loading rates are directly dependent on the vegetative and physical characteristics of the wetland and can vary greatly in northern climates (Dillon Consulting, 1997). Therefore the appropriate hydraulic loading rate will have to be determined through use and sampling of the wetland.

Calculated organic loading rates for both the 2009 and 2028 discharge periods are much higher than the recommended value of 8 kg BOD<sub>5</sub>/ha\*d. This is not considered to be a critical design parameter, but is used mainly to check that enough oxygen is available to maintain aerobic treatment in the wetland. Due to the nature of the Kugaaruk wetland (the many streams, steady flowing water, minimal water depth of streams (<0.2m)), aerobic conditions should be well maintained. The calculated estimates were also based

on the allowable maximum discharge concentration of BOD<sub>5</sub> from the sewage lagoon. It is expected that the BOD<sub>5</sub> concentration will be much lower than the maximum discharge limit and thus the calculated organic loading rate will be less.

#### 7 CONCLUSIONS & RECOMMENDATIONS

The wetland is expected to treat effluent from the lagoon to the standards set out in the Hamlet's water licence. Preliminary sampling results indicate that the wetland is capable of treating high concentrations of BOD<sub>5</sub> and TSS to well below acceptable levels. The Alberta Environment model also predicts based on the available wetland area, that treatment of the effluent will be satisfactory.


The estimated hydraulic and organic loading rate calculations indicate that the wetland will not be able to treat effluent to acceptable levels. Hydraulic and organic loading rates are good guidelines to incorporate into wetland design, however, studies on northern climate wetlands have found that a wide range of hydraulic loading rates have been successful. They have also determined that the optimal loading rate depends heavily on the vegetation and physical characteristics of the wetland (Dillon Consulting, 1997). It is recommended that decantation of the lagoon continue as planned in the spring and that monitoring of the lagoon and wetland begin at the same time. Unless there is something wrong with the treatment process (i.e. lagoon berm fails, wetland is failing to treat lagoon effluent), the decantation and monitoring cycle should continue for 5 years until the water licence has expired. Treatment efficiencies of the lagoon and wetland should then be re-evaluated and improvements to the system may be implemented.

Improvements should be made when the system's deficiencies have been identified. The following is a list of potential improvements opportunities:

- Increase area of the wetland There is room to increase the width of the wetland. The overflow berm may be made longer or diversion channels and culverts can be constructed to spread effluent over a greater area.
- Install a mechanical blower in the lagoon This will aerate the lagoon increasing treatment within the lagoon prior to discharge into the wetland.
- Construct individual wetland cells By constructing cells, effluent loading rates can be controlled more accurately and effluent will be treated through a filtration process.

#### 8 REFERENCES

- 1. Alberta Environment. "Guidelines for the Approval and Design of Natural and Constructed Treatment Wetlands for Water Quality Improvement", Municipal Program Development Branch, Environmental Sciences Division, Environmental Service, March 2000.
- 2. Dillon Consulting Limited. "Sewage Treatment Using Tundra Wetlands", January 1997.
- 3. Heinke *et al.* "The Potential Use of Wetlands for Wastewater Treatment in the Northwest Territories", Prepared for Municipal and Community Affairs, Government of the Northwest Territories, 1993.
- 4. Nunavut Water Board. "Hamlet of Kugaaruk Water Licence, Licence Number: 3BM-PEL0712", September 2007.



## Required Wetland Area Calculation – Alberta Environment Model

Design Year: 2009

Surface Flow (SF) Treatment Wetland - Preliminary Feasibility Calculation Sheet							
Location: Kugaaruk Sewage Lagoon							
<b>Design Flow (m³/d)</b> Used average day calculation for 2009 (28314m³/yr)/(90d/yr discharge time) =	Q =	314.6					
314.6m³/d		TSS	BOD	FC			
Influent Concentration (mg/L)	$C_i =$	180	120	1000			
Target Effluent Concentration (mg/L)	C <sub>e</sub> =	45	45	1000			
Wetland Background Limit (mg/L)	C* =	19.14	9.86	100			
for TSS, $C^* = 7.8 + 0.063Ci$ for BOD, $C^* = 3.5 + 0.053Ci$							
Areal rate constant @ 20°C (m/yr)	k =	1000	34	77			
Required Wetland Area (ha)	A =	0.02	0.39	0			
Required Wetland Area (m²)	A =	209.89	3858.30	0			
A = $[0.0365 \times Q/k] \times ln[(C_i - C^*)/(Ce - C^*)]$							
		maximum calculated a boxes (A <sub>max</sub> ) =	area from above	3858.3 <b>n</b>	n²		

Design Year: 2028

Surface Flow (SF) Treatment Wetland - Preliminary Feasibility Calculation Sheet							
Location: Kugaaruk Sewage Lagoon							
<b>Design Flow (m³/d)</b> Used average day calculation for 2028 (46597m3/yr)/(90d/yr discharge time) =	Q =	517.7					
517.7m3/d		TSS	BOD	FC			
Influent Concentration (mg/L)	$C_i =$	180	120	1000			
Target Effluent Concentration (mg/L)	C <sub>e</sub> =	45	45	1000			
Wetland Background Limit (mg/L)	$C^* =$	19.14	9.86	100			
for TSS, $C^* = 7.8 + 0.063Ci$ for BOD, $C^* = 3.5 + 0.053Ci$							
Areal rate constant @ 20°C (m/yr)	k =	1000	34	77			
Required Wetland Area (ha) Required Wetland Area (m²)	A = A =	0.03 345.39	0.63 6349.14	0			
A = $[0.0365 \times Q/k] \times ln[(C_i - C^*)/(Ce - C^*)]$		maximum calculated a	area from above	6240.1			

boxes  $(A_{max}) =$ 

6349.1 **m**<sup>2</sup>

#### **Hydraulic & Organic Loading Rate Calculations**

Design Year: 2009

#### **Wetland Treatment Area**

Hydraulic Loading Rate			Orga	anic Loading Rate (BOD₅)
90 day Discharge Period				
HLR = Design Flow	w (m³/d)/Area	(ha)	Organic Matter (BOD	D(t) = BOD (mg/l)*1000 (l/m3)/1000000mg/kg
Design Flow Area	314.6 16000	m <sup>3</sup> /d m <sup>2</sup>	BOD	120 mg/l
HLR	1.6	ha m³/ha*d	Organic Matter	0.12 kg/m <sup>3</sup>
TILK	130.0	π/πα α	OLR = Organic Matte	er (kg/m³) *Design Flow (m³/d)/Area (ha)
			OLR	23.6 kg/ha*d

<sup>\*</sup>BOD values based on Water Licence Requirements for Hamlet of Kugaaruk for discharge of effluent from lagoon to surrounding wetland.

(Source: The Potential Use of Wetlands for Wastewater Treatment in the Northwest Territories, Heinke et al., 1993)

Design Year: 2028

#### **Wetland Treatment Area**

Hydr	aulic Loading Rate	Organic Loading Rate (BOD <sub>5</sub> )	)
90 day Discharge	Period		
HLR = Design Flor	w (m³/d)/Area (ha)	Organic Matter (BOD) = BOD (mg/l)*1000 (l/m <sup>3</sup>	)/1000000mg/kg
Design Flow Area	517.7 m³/d 16000 m²	BOD 120 mg/l	
HLR	1.6 ha 323.6 m³/ha*d	Organic Matter 0.12 kg/m <sup>3</sup>	
		OLR = Organic Matter (kg/m³) *Design Flow (m	13/d)/Area (ha)
		OLR 38.8 kg/ha*d	

<sup>\*</sup>BOD values based on Water Licence Requirements for Hamlet of Kugaaruk for discharge of effluent from lagoon to surrounding wetland.


(Source: The Potential Use of Wetlands for Wastewater Treatment in the Northwest Territories, Heinke et al., 1993)

<sup>\*</sup>HLR values should range from 100m³/ha\*d to 200m³/ha\*d

<sup>\*</sup>OLR values should not exceed 8kg/ha\*d

<sup>\*</sup>HLR values should range from 100m<sup>3</sup>/ha\*d to 200m<sup>3</sup>/ha\*d

<sup>\*</sup>OLR values should not exceed 8kg/ha\*d






# THE GOVERNMENT OF NUNAVUT COMMUNITY AND GOVERNMENT SERVICES

SEWAGE & SOLID WASTE SITES - RECORD DRAWINGS

LOCATION: KUGAARUK, NUNAVUT

PROJECT NO: 05-4755-3000


DATE: JANUARY 2009




LIST OF DRAWINGS				
Sheet Number	Sheet Title			
000	Cover			
100	Lagoon Site			
101	Design Lagoon Site Plan View			
102	Lagoon Sections			
200	Discharge and Overflow Flume and Landfill Fence Details			
201	Berm Sections and Details			
202	Manhole Details			

LOCATION PLAN








6	01/07/09	RECORD DRAWINGS	GS
5	07/10/07	REVISED FOR NWB APLLICATION	GS
4	01/31/07	REVISED FOR NWB COMMENTS	GS
3	05/05/06	ISSUED FOR TENDER	GS
2	08/29/05	ISSUED FOR 95% REVIEW	GS
CHANGE	DATE	DESCRIPTION	CHEC

1:500 ILLON PROJECT NUMBER 05-4755-3000 LIENT PROJECT NUMBER



SEE DWG. 201 SECTION 1 AND SECTION 2 FOR BERM CONSTRUCTION DETAILS.

RECORD DRAWING Dan 07/09

DRAWING REDUCED **NOT TO SCALE** 

THIS RECORD DOCUMENT HAS BEEN PREPARED BASED IN PART UPON INFORMATION FURNISHED BY OTHERS, CILLUN CONSULTING LTD. CANNOT ASSURE THE ACQUITACY OF OTHERS, NORMATION AND THUS IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR THE ACQUITACY OF THIS RECORD DOCUMENT OF FOR ANY ERROR OR GOUSSION THAT ANY HAVE BEEN INCOPPORTED IN TOT AS A RESULT. THOSE RELYMB ON THIS RECORD DOCUMENT ARE ACVISED TO OSTRIAN INSEPRIOR LITTLE AND THIS RECORD DOCUMENT ARE ACVISED TO OSTRIAN INSEPDICENT WERFECTION OF ITS ACCURACY SEFORE APPLYING IT FOR ANY PURPOSE.

6	01/0	7/09	RECORD	DRAWINGS			GS
5	07/1	0/07	REVISED FOR NWB APLLICATION			TION	GS
4	01/3	1/07	REVISED	REVISED FOR NWB COMMENTS			GS
3	05/05/06		ISSUED FOR TENDER			GS	
2	08/29/05		ISSUED F	ISSUED FOR 95% REVIEW			GS
CHANGE	DA	TE	DESCRIPTI	ON			CHECK
REVISIONS							
design GS	DRAWN TPW			CHECKED GS		DATE JAN 20	009




SEWAGE & SOLID WASTE


FACILITY KUGAARUK, NUNAVUT


LAGOON SECTIONS




CALE
AS SHOWN
ILLON PROJECT NUMBER
05-4755-3000
LIENT PROJECT NUMBER











# 7 MANHOLE ACCESS HATCH FRAMING DETAIL 202 202 SCALE 1:20



## 12 MANHOLE ACCESS SECTION SCALE 1:5



8 MANHOLE LID FRAMING DETAIL
202|202| SCALE 1:20

- FOR ACCESS MANHOLE LID FRAMING PLANS 6mm THK. GALV. STEEL PLATE LID NOT SHOWN FOR CLARITY.
   ALL WELDS AND ABRASIONS TO BE REPAIRED WITH TWO COATES OF GALVCON.

- KEYNOTES:

  1220mm DJA. HDPE DR 32.5 MANHOLE WALL
  19mm DJA. GALV. NUT, BOLT & WASHER (B REOD) SEE ACCESS MANHOLE LID FRAMING PLAN FOR ARRANGEMENT
  19mm DJA. GALV. NUT, BOLT & WASHER (B REOD) SEE ACCESS MANHOLE LID FRAMING PLAN FOR ARRANGEME
  19mm THK. GALV. STEEL PLATE ACCESS HATCH COVER
  19mm THK. GALV. STEEL PLATE ACCESS HATCH COVER
  19mm THK. CALV. STEEL PLATE ACCESS HATCH COVER
  19mm THK. CALV. STEEL PLATE ACCESS HATCH COVER
  19mm THK. CALV. STEEL PLATE ACCESS HATCH COVER
  19mm THK. STEEN TO CONTINUOUS ALL AROUND AND BOLTED TO HOPE MANHOLE
  10mm THK. AN FOLIED ANGLE CONTINUOUS ALL AROUND AND BOLTED TO HOPE MANHOLE
  1505505 GALV. ANGLE SEE LID FRAMINS DETAIL 3
  12.5mm DJA. RUBBER OASKET IN ROUTERED SLOT CONTINUOUS ALL AROUND WEATHER TIGHT
  2.5mm WIDE ASMET THK. RUBBER GASKET INSTITE OF WASTED OF HATCH COVER (TYP. 4 SIDES)
  10mm DJA. RUBBER OASKET IN STORM HANDLE SEE DETAIL 6 THS DWG.
  1400mm DJA. HOPE DER 32.5 MANHOLE WALL
  1400mm DJA. HOPE DER 32.5 MANHOLE WALL
  1400m DJA. HOPE DER 32.5 MANHOLE WALL
  1400m DJA. HOPE DER 32.5 MANHOLE WALL
  1400m DJA. HOPE DER 32.5 MANHOLE WALL
  1500m DJA. DJA. DOUB DER 32.5 MANHO

# DRAWING REDUCED **NOT TO SCALE**

RECORD DRAWING

Jan 07/09

NOTES

DRAWING SHEET
WHERE DETAIL IS SHOWN

DRAWING SHEET

DETAIL REFERENCED
(THIS DRAWING SHEET)

DIMENSIONS ARE IN MILLIMETERS UNLESS SPECIFIED OTHERWISE

THIS RECORD DOCUMENT HAS BEEN PREPARED BASED IN PART UPON INFORMATION FURNISHED BY OTHERS, DILLON CONSULTING LTD. CAMPOT ASSURE THE ACCURACY OF OTHERS MECHANIZATION THAT IS IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR THE ACCURACY OF THIS RECORD DOCUMENT OR FOR ANY ERROR OR CHISSION IT HAT MAY HAVE BEEN INCORPORATED INTO IT AS A RESULT. THOSE RELYMON OF THIS RECORD DOCUMENT ARE ADVISED. TO OBTAIN INDEPENDENT VERIFICATION OF ITS ACCURACY BEFORE APPLYING IT FOR ANY PURPOSE.

6	01/07/09	RECORD DRAWINGS	GS
5	07/10/07	REVISED FOR NWB APLLICATION	GS
4	01/31/07	REVISED FOR NWB COMMENTS	GS
3	05/05/06	ISSUED FOR TENDER	GS
2	08/29/05	ISSUED FOR 95% REVIEW	GS
CHANGE	DATE	DESCRIPTION	CHECH

OFFICE	DATE	DECOURT HOLE	1.
		REVISIONS	
DESIGN GS	DRAWN 41TP\	V GS	JAN 200




SEWAGE & SOLID WASTE ACILITY KUGAARUK, NUNAVUT


MANHOLE DETAILS



05-4755-300



9 EXTENSION SPINDLE DETAIL
202 202 SCALE 1:10



NOTES:

11 INTAKE SCREEN DETAIL
2022 2022 SCALE 1:10