



Phyllis Beaulieu <phyllis.beaulieu@nwb-oen.ca>

[Licensing] RE: 3BM-PON1012 Renewal-Amendment Application

1 message

Roy, Bhabesh <BRoy@gov.nu.ca>
To: Sean Joseph <sean.joseph@nwb-oen.ca>
Cc: Licensing Department <licensing@nwb-oen.ca>

Wed, Mar 4, 2015 at 9:51 AM

Sean,

I recommend the followings:

Please consider the O&M manuals previously submitted for Sewage lagoon and Landfarm as the part of this licence renewal process.

If you want me to resubmit , I can not do until next week wednesday or thursday. If possible, pick them from your ftp site.

Thanks

Bhabesh

From: Sean Joseph [sean.joseph@nwb-oen.ca]
Sent: March 4, 2015 11:20 AM
To: Roy, Bhabesh
Cc: Licensing Department
Subject: 3BM-PON1012 Renewal-Amendment Application

Hi Bhabesh:

The NWB has completed its review of the application submitted by the Government of Nunavut –Department of Community and Government Services (GN-CGS) on behalf of the Hamlet of Pond Inlet (the Licensee or the Applicant) for the renewal and amendment of Licence No. 3BM-PON1012 . The review determined that the following issues must be addressed / clarified before the application could proceed to the next stage of the water licensing process:

1. Confirmation is required on which previously submitted Operations and Maintenance Manual for the Sewage Treatment Facility (Sewage Lagoon), including the sludge management plan, that the Applicant wants the Board to consider as part of the application;
2. Confirmation is required on which previously submitted Operations and Maintenance Manual for the Landfarm facility that the Applicant want the Board to consider as part of it application; and
3. Confirmation is required on which specific documents that the Board should consider as part of the application given that the NWB has received four sets of submissions within a two-three year time-frame in support of the application. It should be noted that while many of the submissions received contain documents that appear directly related to the water licence application, there is a significant number of documents in the submissions that is apparently aimed at addressing outstanding requirements under the existing / expired licence. Therefore, to assist the NWB and intervening parties in efficiently reviewing the application, the NWB recommends that the Applicant provide directions to the Board in terms of the documents submitted in direct support of the application and the ones submitted in to address outstanding requirement of the expired water licence. In this way, all reviewers will have clear directions as to documents that they should be reviewing for the application.

If you have any questions related to the above, please contact me at your earliest convenience.

Regards,

Sean