

Application for Water Licence Amendment

Document Date: April 2013

Application Submission Date:

June 2015

Month/Day/Year

P.O. BOX 119 GJOA HAVEN, NUNAVUT XOB 1J0 Tel:(867)360-6338

FAX:(867)360-6369

kNK5 wmoEp5 vtmpq NUNAVUT IMALIRIYIN KATIMAYIT NUNAVUT WATER BOARD OFFICE DES EAUX DU NUNAVUT

DOCUMENT MANAGEMENT

Original Document Date: April 2010

DOCUMENT AMENDMENTS

	Description	Date
(1)	Updated for public distribution as separate document from NWB Guide 7	June 2010
(2)	Updated NWB logos and reformatted table to allow rows to break across page	May 2011
(3)	New NWB logo; request for background information; and change to Block 24	April 2013
(4)		593
(5)		
(6)		
(7)		No.
(8)		
(9)		
(10)		



P.O. Box 119

kNK5 wmoEp5 vtmp5

GJOA HAVEN, NU X0B 1J0

NUNAVUT WATER BOARD

TEL: (867) 360-6338

NUNAVUT IMALIRIYIN KATIMAYIT

FAX: (867) 360-6369

OFFICE DES EAUX DU NUNAVUT

APPLICATION FOR WATER LICENCE AMENDMENT

The applicant is referred to the NWB's Guide 7: <u>Licensee Requirements Following the Issuance of a Water Licensee</u> for more information about this application form.

Where possible, provide background information regarding the original licence application or attach previously submitted information.

EXISTING LICENCE NO: 3BC-EUR1116
1. LICENSEE CONTACT INFORMATION
Is the licensee the same as that referred to on the existing licence?
X Yes
If No, a licence assignment must be completed and approved by the NWB. An amendment will only be issued in the name of the current licensee in the absence of assignment of the licence.
If the licensee is the same, but the <u>name</u> of the licensee has changed, attach a certificate of name change.
Name:
Address:
Phone: Fax: e-mail:
2. LICENSEE REPRESENTATIVE CONTACT INFORMATION – If different from Block 1.
Name:
Address:
Phone: Fax:e-mail:

1

(Attach authoriz	zation letter.)			
3. NAME (OF PROJECT			
Has the name o	f the project changed?			
		☐ Yes X No		
If Yes, indicate t	he name of the project including	g the name of the l	ocation:	7
4. LOCAT	ION OF UNDERTAKING			
Does the propo	osed amendment change the lo	cation of the amen	ded undertaking?	4
		☐ Yes X No		
Provide the pro	ject extents and camp location	s. Identify propose	d changes.	561
Project Extents	•	ü		
NW: Latitude NE: Latitude SE: Latitude SW: Latitude	e: (Longitude: (° Longitude: (° Longitude: (° Longitude: (°	** W)	6
Camp Location	(s)			
Latitude: (79	° 59 ′40 ″ N)	Longitude: (85	° 50 ′ 1 ″ W)	
5. MAP			Te.	
Does the propos	sed amendment change the loc	ations of any of the	e main components of the un	dertaking?
		Yes X No		
Attach a topogra	aphical map, indicating the mair	n components of th	ne undertaking. Identify propo	sed changes.
NTS Map Sheet	No.: Map Na	ame:	Map Scale:	=
-	9			
	145			
	B. 5	20		
I				

6.	NATURE OF INTEREST IN THE LAND
Does	the proposed amendment change the nature of the interest in the land?
	☐ Yes X No
If Yes	s, indicate changes.
	k any of the following that are applicable to the proposed undertaking (at least one box under the ace' header must be checked).
	Sub-surface
	Mineral Lease from Nunavut Tunngavik Incorporated (NTI) Date (expected date) of issuance: Date of expiry:
	Mineral Lease from Indian and Northern Affairs Canada (INAC) Date (expected date) of issuance: Date of expiry:
	Surface
	X Crown Land Use Authorization from Indian and Northern Affairs Canada (INAC) Date (expected date) of issuance: May 31, 2015 Date of expiry: May 30, 2016
	Inuit Owned Land (IOL) Authorization from Kitikmeot Inuit Association (KIA) Date (expected date) of issuance: Date of expiry:
	☐ IOL Authorization from Kivalliq Inuit Association (KivIA) Date (expected date) of issuance: Date of expiry:
	☐ IOL Authorization from Qikiqtani Inuit Association (QIA) Date (expected date) of issuance: Date of expiry:
	Commissioner's Land Use Authorization Date (expected date) of issuance: Date of expiry:
	Other
	Date (expected date) of issuance: Date of expiry:
Is the licenc	name of the entity(s) holding authorizations the same as that considered in the existing water e?
	X Yes No
If No,	a licence assignment must be completed and approved by the NWB.

	(41)
7. NUNAVUT PLANNING COMMISSION (NP	C) DETERMINATION
Indicate the land use planning area in which the ex	isting project is located.
X North Baffin	Keewatin Sanikiluaq West Kitikmeot
Does the proposed amendment change the land us	se planning area?
	Yes X No
If yes, indicate the land use planning area in which	the amended undertaking is located.
☐ North Baffin ☐ South Baffin ☐ Akunniq	Keewatin Sanikiluaq West Kitikmeot
Was a land use plan conformity determination requirence?	aired from NPC prior to the issuance of the existing water
	Yes X No
If Yes, indicate date issued and attach copy.	141
Does the proposed amendment change the original	I NPC conformity determination or the need to obtain one?
	Yes X No
If Yes, indicate date issued (or expected) and attact If No, provide written confirmation from NPC confirmation	ch a copyming that a land use plan conformity review is not required.
8. NUNAVUT IMPACT REVIEW BOARD (NIF	RB) DETERMINATION
Was a screening determination required from NIRI	3 prior to the issuance of the existing water licence?
	Yes X No
If Yes, indicate date issued and attach copy.	
Does the proposed amendment change the origina one?	al NIRB screening determination or the need to obtain
X	′es □ No
	ch a copy. Environmental assessment report bla blabla. rming that a screening determination is not required.
A copy of the Environmental Impact Assessment the screening process. NIRB will make their decisi	will be completed in September 2015 and sent to NIRB for on at this time.
ii ii	: :a

9. DESCRIPTION OF UNDERTAKING
Does the proposed amendment change the description of the undertaking?
X Yes
List and attach plans and drawings or project proposal. Identify proposed changes.
Runway surface recapitalization:
3 year project intended to repair the runway surface. Project involves setting up a camp for contractors near the runway. See documents attached.
Construction of a multi-purpose building:
A new multi-purpose building is to be constructed near the actual main station building.
10. OPTIONS
Does the proposed amendment change any of the alternative methods and locations that were considered to carry out the project?
☐ Yes X No
Provide a brief explanation of the alternative methods or locations that were considered to carry out the project. Identify proposed changes.
11. CLASSIFICATION OF PRIMARY UNDERTAKING
Indicate the primary classification of undertaking for the existing licence by checking one of the following boxes:
☐ Industrial ☐ Agricultural ☐ Mining and Milling (includes exploration/drilling/exploration camps) ☐ Conservation
☐ Municipal (includes camps/lodges) ☐ Power ☐ Recreational X Miscellaneous (describe below): Federal weather and scientific station
Does the proposed amendment change the classification of primary undertaking?
☐ Yes X No
If Yes, indicate the primary undertaking of the amendment:
Information in accordance with applicable Supplemental Information Guidelines (SIG) must be updated and submitted with an Application for Amendment. Indicate which SIG(s) are applicable to your application.
☐ Hydrostatic Testing

☐ Tannery ☐ Tourist / Remote Camp ☐ Landfarm & On-Site Storage of Hydrocarbon Contaminated Soil ☐ Onshore Oil and Gas Exploration Drilling ☐ Mineral Exploration / Remote Camp ☐ Advanced Exploration ☐ Mine Development ☐ Municipal ☐ General Water Works ☐ Power
12. WATER USE
Indicate, using the boxes below, the types of water use(s) approved in the existing licence.
X To obtain water for camp/ municipal purposes To obtain water for industrial purposes To cross a watercourse To modify the bed or bank of a watercourse X To alter the flow of, or store water Other:
Does the proposed amendment change the type(s) of water use(s)?
☐ Yes X No
If Yes, indicate using the boxes below, the proposed change(s) to the type(s) of water use(s) noting any water use(s) that are to be added, continued, or removed.
☐ To obtain water for camp/ municipal purposes ☐ To obtain water for industrial purposes ☐ To cross a watercourse ☐ To alter the flow of, or store water ☐ Other:
13. QUANTITY OF WATER INVOLVED
Does the proposed amendment change the source of water?
Indicate the water source(s). Identify proposed changes.:
Station Creek (show location(s) on map)
Does the proposed amendment change the quality of the water source and/or its available capacity?
☐ Yes X No
Describe the quality of the water source(s) and the available capacity(s). Identify any changes.:

Does the proposed amendment change the overall quantity of water to be used?
X Yes
Provide the overall estimated quantity to be used. Identify proposed changes. :
2015: 5 m³/day (4 % increase compared to 2014) 2016: 5,6 m³/day (17 % increase compared to 2014) 2017: 5,25 m³/day (9% increase compared to 2014)
Does the proposed amendment change the quantity of water to be used from each source?
X Yes No
Provide the estimated quantity(s) of water to be used from each source. Identify proposed changes.:
From Station Creek 2015: 5 m³/day (4 % increase compared to 2014) 2016: 5,6 m³/day (17 % increase compared to 2014) 2017: 5,25 m³/day (9% increase compared to 2014)
Does the proposed amendment change the quantity of water to be used for each purpose?
X Yes
Provide the estimated quantities to be used for each purpose (camp, drilling, etc.). Identify proposed changes.
Same as above. All water is to be used for Eureka Weather Station as well as temporary camp for contractors.
Does the proposed amendment change the method(s) of extraction?
Describe the method(s) of extraction. Identify proposed changes. : Pumps. No changes.
Does the proposed amendment change the quantity(s) of water returned to source(s)?
☐ Yes X No
Estimated quantity(s) of water returned to source(s). Identify proposed changes. : 0 m³/day. No changes.
Does the proposed amendment change the quality(s) of water returned to source(s)?
` Yes X No
Describe the quality(s) of water(s) returned to source(s). Identify any changes.
14. WASTE
Check the appropriate box(s) to indicate the types of waste(s) approved in the existing licence.
X Sewage

Bulky Item	s/Scrap Metal	Contaminated:	soil and/or water	
Animal Wa				
Other (des	cribe):			
Does the proposed a	mendment change the	e type(s) of waste(s) to	be generated or dep	oosited?
		☐ Yes X No		
		proposed change(s) to val or continued genera		
☐ Sewage ☐ Solid Was	to	☐ Waste oil ☐ Greywater		
☐ Hazardous		Sludges		
Bulky Item	s/Scrap Metal		soil and/or water	
Animal Wa				
Other (des	scribe):			
	242			
			W.	
15. QUANTITY A	AND QUALITY OF WA	STE INVOLVED		
D th		a avantitu(a) of the tune	a of weeten involve	10
Does the proposed	amendment change th	e quantity(s) of the type	es of wastes involved	1?
		X Yes No		
D the		a accompanition(a) of the	tunes of wester inve	alvod2
Does the proposed	amendment change th	e composition(s) of the	types of wastes invo	oived?
		☐ Yes X No		
Does the proposed	amendment change th	e method(s) of treatme	nt for the types of wa	aste involved?
		☐ Yes X No		
Does the proposed	amendment change th	e method(s) of disposa	I for the types of was	ste involved?
		Yes X No		
If Yes to any of the a	bove, describe the pro	posed changes:		
_		-		
	ste indicated in Block 1 and method of dispos	 describe its composal. 	ition, quantity in cub	ic meters/day,
Type of Waste	Composition	Quantity	Treatment	Disposal
		Generated	Method	Method
			**	
		L		

		*		
			œ.	
16. OTHER AUTH	ORIZATIONS			545
	mendment change the authorizations provide		rizations in addition to	the sub-surface
		☐ Yes X No		
If Yes, indicate any additional authorizations required, which authorizations are no longer required, and which authorizations continue to be required.				
For each provide the	following:		18	
Authorization:		N .		
Administering Agency	/: <u></u>			
Project Activity:				
Date (expected date)	of issuance:	Date of expi	гу:	
17. PREDICTED E	ENVIRONMENTAL IM	IPACTS OF UNDERT	AKING AND PROPOS	SED MITIGATION
Does the proposed ar mitigation measures?		predicted environmen	ntal impacts of the und	ertaking or the
		Yes X No		
Describe direct, indire	ct, and cumulative imp	pacts related to water	and waste. Identify ar	y changes.
NO It is presumed, the Environmental Impact study will confirm whe	Study will be complet	ted in September 2015	to further assess and	confirm this. This

mitigation measures to reduce the likelihood of these impacts.
18. WATER RIGHTS OF EXISTING AND OTHER WATER USERS
Was compensation paid and/or an agreement(s) for compensation been entered into with any existing or other users of water during consideration of the existing licence?
☐ Yes X No
If Yes, provide the names, addresses and the nature of water use by those persons or properties.
Does the proposed amendment adversely affect any known persons or property including those that hold licences for water use in precedence to the application, domestic users, in-stream users, authorized waste depositors, owners of property, occupiers of property, and/or holders of outfitting concessions, registered trapline holders, and holders of other rights of a similar nature?
☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide the names, addresses and the nature of water use of those persons or properties.
Advise the Board if compensation has been paid and/or an agreement(s) for compensation has been reached with any existing or other water users with respect to the proposed amendment.
*
19. INUIT WATER RIGHTS
Was compensation paid/ or an agreement(s) for compensation been entered into with any Designated Inuit Organization (DIO) during consideration of the existing licence?
If Yes, which DIO(s)
Does the proposed amendment substantially affect the quality, quantity or flow of waters flowing through Inuit Owned Land (IOL)?
☐ Yes X No
If Yes, advise the Board if negotiations have commenced or an agreement to pay compensation for any loss or damage has been reached with one or more DIO(s) with respect to the proposed amendment.
20. CONSULTATION - Provide a summary of any consultation meetings including when the meetings were held, where and with whom. Include a list of concerns expressed and measures to address concerns.
The NIRB will be screening the proposed projects. As a result, the project information will be made available for the public to view and comment on in the very near future. Any public comments provided will be taken into consideration.
21. SECURITY INFORMATION
Does the proposed amendment change the financial security assessment?
☐ Yes X No

Does the proposed amendment change the estimate of the total financial security for final reclamation?
☐ Yes X No
Provide an estimate of the total financial security for final reclamation equal to the total outstanding reclamation liability for land and water combined sufficient to cover the highest liability over the life of the undertaking. Estimates of reclamation costs must be based on the cost of having the necessary reclamation work done by a third party contractor if the operator defaults. The estimate must also include contingency factors appropriate to the particular work to be undertaken. Identify any changes in the financial security assessment resulting from the proposed amendment.
Where applicable, the financial security assessment should be prepared in a manner consistent with the principals respecting mine site reclamation and implementation found in the <i>Mine Site Reclamation Policy for Nunavut</i> , Indian and Northern Affairs Canada, 2002.
22. FINANCIAL INFORMATION
Is the statement of financial security the same as that considered in the existing water licence?
X Yes
Provide an updated statement of financial security.
If the applicant is a business entity please answer the questions below:
Is the list of the officers of the company the same as those considered in the existing water licence?
☐ Yes ☐ No
Provide a list of the officers of the company.
Is the Certificate of Incorporation or evidence of registration of the company name the same?
☐ Yes ☐ No
Attach a copy of the Certificate of Incorporation or evidence of registration of the company name.
23. STUDIES UNDERTAKEN TO DATE
List and attach updated studies, reports, research etc.

Below are the current environmental studies to date. Please contact us in order to view any of the following:

	Title	Date	Author
	Environmental Assessment Eureka Weather Station	November 1990	O'Connor Associates Environmental
	Initial Environmental Evaluation Fuel Storage Facility Project, Eureka, N.W.T.	May 1991	Nick Tywoniuk PWGSC A&E
	Initial Environmental Evaluation Fuel Storage Facility Project Eureka, N.W.T.	June 1991	Environmental Services Product Sector, Public Works Canada
	Eureka, N.W.T. Environment Canada Atmospheric Environment Service Fuel Storage Facilities	December 1991	PWGSC Manitoba District A&E Services
	Preliminary Site Caracterization of an Abandoned Landfill, Eureka N.W.T.	November 1994	PWGSC Environmental Services (Alta/BC)
	High Arctic Weather Stations: Eureka, Mould Bay & Isachsen	March 16, 1995	PWGSC Environmental Services Alta/NWT
	Environmental Assessment, Proposed Construction of Landfill, Eureka, Northwest Territories	May 1995	PWGSC Environmental Services, A&ES
	Detailed Site Characterization & Monitoring at the Abandoned Landfill, Eureka, NWT	November 1995	Michael Nahir, PWGSC
	Environmental Assessment for the Proposed Demolition of the Maintenance Garage at the Eureka HAWS	January 1996	PWGSC Environmental Services (Alta/NWT)
1 dup	Initial Environmental Assessment Eureka High Arctic Weather Station Demolition and Construction of Eureka 2000 Facility (DRAFT)	September 1996	PWGSC Environmental Services (Alta/NWT)
240	Initial Environmental Assessment Eureka High Arctic Weather Station Demolition and Construction of Eureka 2000 Facility (FINAL)	March 1997	PWGSC Environmental Services (Alta/NWT)
	Detailed Environmental Site Characterization and Remediation of the Eureka HAWS, NWT	February 1998	Michael Nahir, PWGSC
	Environmental Audit of the Eureka HAWS	March 1998	PWGSC Environmental Services Western Region
1 dup	Waste Management Plan for Eureka High Arctic Weather Station	March 1998	PWGSC Environmental Services Western and Northern Region
	Compliance Review Oil Handling Facility Eureka	1999? Date taken from project #.	KLS Services
	Eureka: An Environmental Study of DND Facilities	April 1999	Environmental Sciences Group RMC Kingston, ON
1 dup	Eureka Delineation of Hydrocarbon Contaminated Soils 1999	January 2000	Environmental Sciences Group RMC Kingston, ON
	Review Report: Study of the Wastewater and Water Supply Systems at the Eureka Weather Station	February 2000	Daniel W. Smith (University of Alberta) and Michael Nahir (PWGSC Edmonton)

	T		·
4 dup?	Follow-up Monitoring Report: Contaminated Site Assessment & Remediation	March 2000	PWGSC
	Monitoring Report	March 2000	PWGSC
	Environmental Screening – Eureka High Arctic Weather Station Contaminated Soil Remediation	July 2000	PWGSC Environmental Services
	In Situ Remediation of Eureka High Arctic Weather Station	September 2000	EBA
	Comprehensive Assessment of ACM	November 2000	Pinchin Environmental
	Asbestos Building Materials & Lead Paint Survey of Eureka Upper Air Station	August 2001	Pinchin Environmental
1 dup	Final Inspection Report EC Eureka HAWS Asbestos Abatement Inspection Services	August 28, 2001	Pinchin Environmental
	Final Inspection Report EC Eureka HAWS Asbestos Abatement Inspection Services	August 8, 2001	Pinchin Environmental
	Camp Eureka Environmental Investigation 2001	December 2001	Environmental Sciences Group, RMC
	Eureka Ash Disposal Area Emissions Study	February 2002	File wherabouts unknown
	Eureka East Dump Emissions Study (Federal Waste Mgt. Questionnaire)	February 2002	Bronson Consulting
	Eureka West End Dump Emissions Study	February 2002	Bronson Consulting
	Nutrient Application Summary	July 2002	Jared Buchko, PWGSC
	Eureka Water Analysis Lab Report (Chemical Analysis Report)	July 2003	ALS Environmental
	Eureka Lagoon Annual Discharge Event Report	December 2003	Laurie Washington, PWGSC
	Eureka SNP Report	December 2003	Surveillance Network Program
	Site Visit/Sampling Report (In situ Remediation Treatment and Sewage Lagoon Discharge of Eureka HAWS)	December 2003	Jared Buchko, PWGSC
	Nutrient Report (Nutrient Application at Eureka HAWS)	August 2004	Matthew McElwaine, EarthTech Canada Inc.
	Eureka High Arctic Weather Station 2004 Activities	November 2004	PWGSC Western Region
	Eureka 2005 Monitoring Program Letter Report	March 2005	Brad Thompson, PWGSC
8	Delineation of PHC Soils	June 2005	Environmental Sciences Group, Royal Military College
	Eureka RMC (Executive Summary)	June 2005	Environmental Sciences Group
	Eureka Bioremediation Treatment of Hydrocarbon Phase 2: Interim Report	2005	NRC, Environmental Microbiology
	Eureka Bioremediation Treatment of Hydrocarbon Phase 4: Final Report	February 23, 2005	NRC, Environmental Microbiology

	Eureka Bioremediation Treatment of Hydrocarbon Phase 5	2006	NRC, Environmental Microbiology	
	Fuel Tank Farm Report, Eureka Weather Station	January 2006	PWGSC	
	Eureka Tank Farm Final Report	January 23, 2006	PWGSC	
	NCS Classification: Treasury Board of Canada Secretariat	February 2006	Lindsay Hunt	. 7
x a	Eureka Project – Bioremediation treatment of hydrocarbon contaminated soils from Eureka, Nunavut. Phase 5 – Final report (draft)	February 22, 2006	National Research Council Canada	<u> </u>
	Proposal Eureka P2 ESA (P2 ESA former fuel storage area and ex-situ biotreatment cell)	August 2006	Nunami Jaques Whitford Ltd.	
	PA Doc Eureka Phase 2s	November 2006	EC Internal	
	Draft Report: Eureka HAWS Biotreatment Cell P2 ESA)	November 2006	Nunami Jaques Whitford Ltd.	
	Eureka HAWS FFS Remedial Options Draft (Remedial & Risk Mgt. Options analysis and cost estimates: Former fuel storage area)	November 2006	Nunami Jaques Whitford Ltd.	
	HHERA for the Eureka HAWS: Final Report (FFSA)	November 2006	Jacques Whitford Ltd.	
	Eureka Phase I APECs for FSCAP Submission with costs	November 2006	PWGSC – ES	
	Human Health and Ecological Risk Assessment for the Eureka High Arctic Weather Station, Ellesmere Island, Nunavut	November 29, 2006	Jacques Whitford	
1(42)	Phase I Environmental Site Assessment Eureka High Arctic Weather Station, Eureka, Nunavut	February 2007	PWGSC – Office of Greening Government Operations – Environmental Services – Western Region	
	EUREKA HIGH ARCTIC WEATHER STATION GEOPHYSICAL INVESTIGATION EUREKA, NU	May 2008	EBA Engineering Consultants Ltd.	
	Phase III Environmental Site Assessment, Eureka High Arctic Weather Station, Nunavut, Canada	March 2009	Franz Environmental Inc.	19
	Phase III Environmental Site Assessment, Eureka High Arctic Weather Station, Nunavut	January 2010	Franz Environmental Inc.	
	Eureka Civil Consulting Services	January 2011	Worley Parsons	
	Detailed Quantitative Risk Assessment (DQRA), 2010 Monitoring Activities & Remedial Options Analysis	March 2011	Franz Environmental Inc.	81
	2012 Supplemental Investigation Eureka high Arctic Weather Station, Nunavut	2012	Franz Environmental Inc. / SENES	
	Remediation Planning and Remedial Action Plan – Feasibility Study	FY 12/13	Franz Environmental Inc. / SENES	
	Remedial Action Plan Eureka High arctic Weather Station	FY 12/13	Franz Environmental Inc. / SENES	

	Long-Term Monitoring Plan AE Eureka High Arctic Weather St		FY 12/13	Franz Environmental Inc. / SENES				
	e a compliance assessment e must contact the NWB for li							
	If in non-compliance, a licence may not be issued until compliance is achieved. If in non-compliance, attach plans/reports for consideration. Application will not be processed if significant issues of non-compliance exist.							
24.	PROPOSED TIME SCHEDU	ILE						
When	are proposed amendments se	cheduled to b	e undertaken: Au	gust 2015				
	the proposed amendment cha	inge the time	schedule considere	ed in the existing licence	for any phase of			
			☐Yes X No					
	e the start and completion date, and post closure). Identify [development (constructi	on, operation,			
		ugust 2015 month/year)	Proposed Com		per 2019 hth/year)			
	Proposed Start Date:	month/year)	Proposed Com	npletion Date:	nth/year)			
	Closure Proposed Start Date:	month/year)	Proposed Corr	npletion Date:	nth/year)			
	Post - Closure Proposed Start Date:		Proposed Con	npletion Date:				
For eac	r h applicable phase of develor	month/year) oment indicate	e which season(s) a	·	nth/year)			
	Construction ☐ Winter ☐ Spring X S	Summer 🗌	Fall □ All seaso	on.				
	Operation Winter Spring	Summer []Fall All season	٥	8			

<u>Closure</u> ☐ Winter	Spring	Summer	∏ Fall	All season	Not applicable	
<u>Post - Clos</u> ☐ Winter	<u>ure</u> ☐ Spring	Summer	☐ Fall	All season	Not applicable	
*						
*						
				(4.) 2		
25. PROPOSE	D TERM OF	LICENCE				
On what date doe	s the existing	licence expire	? May 30,	2016		
Is the Licensee ap	pplying for a c	ombined renev	wal and ar	mendment of the	existing licence?	
			☐ Yes	X No		
If Yes, indicate the	e proposed te	rm of the rene	wal (maxi	mum of 25 years):	
Requested date o	f renewal issu	uance:	th- (Requeste	ed Expiry Date:	
(month/year) (month/year) (The requested date of renewal issuance must be at least three (3) months from the date of application for a type B water licence and at least one (1) year from the date of application for a type A water licence, to allow for processing of the water licence application. These timeframes are approximate and do not account for the time to complete any pre-licensing land use planning or development impact requirements, time for the applicant to prepare and submit a water licence application in accordance with any project specific guidelines issued by the NWB, or the time for the applicant to respond to requests for additional information. See the NWB's Guide 5: Processing Water Licence Applications for more information)						
26. ANNUAL REPORTING						
Will the proposed amendment change the content of annual reports or the annual report template?						
Yes X No						
If Yes, provide deta report.	ails regarding	the content of	annual re	ports and a prop	osed outline or template of the annual	

				¥	
27.	CHECKLIST				
The follo				for the water licensing process to begi	in.
		oplication for Water Lice			
	X Yes	□No	If no, date exped		
	Information ac			(SIG), where applicable (see Block 11)
	Yes	X No	If no, date exped	cted	
	Compliance A	ssessment / Status Re	port (see Block 23).		2
	Yes	X No	If no, date exped	cted August 2015	
	Indication of R	Renewal Requirement (s	see Block 26)		
	☐ Yes	X No	If no, date exped	cted May 2016	
	English Summ	nary of Amendment App	plication.	± ×	
	X Yes	☐ No	If no, date exped	cted	
	Inuktitut and/o	r Inuinnaqtun Summar	y of Amendment Appli	cation.	
	X Yes	□No	If no, date exped	cted	
	Application fee	e of \$30.00 CDN (Paye	e Receiver General fo	r Canada).	
	X Yes	□No	If no date expec	cted	

5		by the NWB base	ed upon the amount o	eneral for Canada). The of water authorized for u	se in accordance
	Yes	☐ No	If no, date expecte	PLEASE SEND IN ed BY MAIL OR	EMAIL
28.	SIGNATURE				
Marc	Ste-Marie Name (Print)	Manager Title (Print)	Muft Han	14-07-15 Date