

SCREENING DECISION REPORT NIRB FILE No.: 18YN009

NPC File No.: 148724

April 18, 2018

Following the Nunavut Impact Review Board's (NIRB or Board) assessment of all materials provided, the NIRB is recommending that a review of Polar Knowledge Canada's "Identifying and Implementing Adaptation Measures for Permafrost Degradation in Kugluk Territorial Park" is not required pursuant to paragraph 92(1)(a) of the *Nunavut Planning and Project Assessment Act*, S.C. 2013, c. 14, s. 2 (*NuPPAA*).

Subject to the Proponent's compliance with the terms and conditions as set out in below, the NIRB is of the view that the project proposal is not likely to cause significant public concerns, and it is unlikely to result in significant adverse environmental and social impacts. The NIRB therefore recommends that the responsible Ministers accepts this Screening Decision Report.

OUTLINE OF SCREENING DECISION REPORT

- 1) REGULATORY FRAMEWORK
- 2) PROJECT REFERRAL
- 3) PROJECT OVERVIEW & THE NIRB ASSESSMENT PROCESS
- 4) ASSESSMENT OF THE PROJECT PROPOSAL IN ACCORDANCE WITH PART 3 OF NUPPAA
- 5) VIEWS OF THE BOARD
- 6) RECOMMENDED PROJECT-SPECIFIC TERMS AND CONDITIONS
- 7) OTHER NIRB CONCERNS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
- 8) REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS
- 9) Conclusion

REGULATORY FRAMEWORK

The primary objectives of the NIRB are set out in Section 12.2.5 of the Agreement between the Inuit of the Nunavut Settlement Area and Her Majesty the Queen in right of Canada (Nunavut Agreement) and are confirmed by section 23 of the NuPPAA:

Nunavut Agreement, Article 12, Section 12.2.5: In carrying out its functions, the primary objectives of NIRB shall be at all times to protect and promote the existing and future well-being of the residents and communities of the Nunavut Settlement Area, and to protect the ecosystemic integrity of the Nunavut Settlement Area. NIRB shall take into account the well-being of the residents of Canada outside the Nunavut Settlement Area.

The purpose of screening is provided for under section 88 of the *NuPPAA*:

NuPPAA, s. 88: The purpose of screening a project is to determine whether the project has the potential to result in significant ecosystemic or socio-economic impacts and, accordingly, whether it requires a review by the Board...

To determine whether a review of a project is required, the NIRB is guided by the considerations as set out under subsection 89(1) of *NuPPAA*:

NuPPAA, s. 89(1): The Board must be guided by the following considerations when it is called on to determine, on the completion of a screening, whether a review of the project is required:

- (a) a review is required if, in the Board's opinion,
 - i. the project may have significant adverse ecosystemic or socioeconomic impacts or significant adverse impacts on wildlife habitat or Inuit harvest activities,
 - ii. the project will cause significant public concern, or
 - iii. the project involves technological innovations, the effects of which are unknown; and
- (b) a review is not required if, in the Board's opinion,
 - i. the project is unlikely to cause significant public concern, and
 - ii. its adverse ecosystemic and socioeconomic impacts are unlikely to be significant, or are highly predictable and can be adequately mitigated by known technologies.

It is noted that subsection 89(2) of the NuPPAA provides that the considerations set out in paragraph 89(1)(a) prevail over those set out in paragraph 89(1)(b) of the NuPPAA.

As set out under subsection 92(1) of the *NuPPAA*, upon conclusion of the screening process, the Board must provide its written report the Minister:

NuPPAA, s. 92(1): The Board must submit a written report to the responsible Minister containing a description of the project that specifies its scope and indicating that:

- (a) a review of the project is not required;
- (b) a review of the project is required; or
- (c) the project should be modified or abandoned.

Where the NIRB determines that a project may be carried out without a review, the NIRB has the discretion to recommend specific terms and conditions to be attached to any approval of the project proposal pursuant to paragraph 92(2)(a) of NuPPAA as follows:

NuPPAA, s. 92(2) In its report, the Board may also

(a) recommend specific terms and conditions to apply in respect of a project that it determines may be carried out without a review.

PROJECT REFERRAL

On February 24, 2018 the Nunavut Impact Review Board (NIRB or Board) received a referral to screen Polar Knowledge Canada's (Polar Knowledge) "Identifying and implementing adaptation measures for permafrost degradation in Kugluk Territorial Park" project proposal from the Nunavut Planning Commission (NPC or Commission), which noted that the project proposal is outside the area of an applicable regional land use plan.

Pursuant to Article 12, Sections 12.4.1 and 12.4.4 of the Agreement between the Inuit of the Nunavut Settlement Area and Her Majesty the Queen in right of Canada (Nunavut Agreement) and section 87 of the Nunavut Planning and Project Assessment Act, S.C. 2013, c. 14, s. 2 (NuPPAA), the NIRB commenced screening this project proposal and assigned it file number 18YN009.

PROJECT OVERVIEW & THE NIRB ASSESSMENT PROCESS

1. Project Scope

The proposed "Identifying and implementing adaptation measures for permafrost degradation in Kugluk Territorial Park" project is located within the Kitikmeot region, approximately 12 to 100 kilometres (km) southwest from Kugluktuk. The Proponent intends to conduct geophysical site investigation of the land in the Kugluk Territorial Park, specifically the areas along all-terrain vehicle (ATV) trails with signs of erosion or slope failure, in order to implement adaptation measures to improve access to the land for Nunavummiut that travel to and through the Kugluk Territorial Park. The program is proposed to take place in July 2018.

As required under subsection 86(1) of the *NuPPAA*, the Board accepts the scope of the "Identifying and implementing adaptation measures for permafrost degradation in Kugluk Territorial Park" project as set out by Polar Knowledge in the proposal. The scope of the project proposal includes the following undertakings, works, or activities:

- Use of ATVs to transport 10 personnel and equipment from Kugluktuk to Kugluk Territorial Park, and surrounding areas;
- Deployment and use of geophysical tools to undertake fieldwork in the Park area. Specific field activities include:
 - Use of a portable earth drill to collect permafrost samples from the study sites;
 - Use of a ground-penetrating radar to survey the active layer of permafrost including ice wedges in the permafrost, and estimate their depths and sizes;
 - Use of a high-precision Global Navigation Satellite System to map landscape changes, such as river bank erosion and permafrost degradation features (e.g. gullies, sinkholes, thermokarst subsidence) in the Park;
 - o Installation of a thermistor string and a data logging system in borehole(s) to measure ground temperature changes and monitor permafrost thaw in the area;
 - o Permanent installation of a vertical Acrylonitrile butadiene styrene (ABS) plastic pipe to the ground to shelter the thermistor cable and data logger system;
 - o Conduct morphological and stratigraphic analysis of landslide scars in the Park in order to assess the sensitivity of soil in the area to erosion;

- Assess the geomorphological and drainage conditions of an alternate route for the ATV trail, and build the boardwalk sections of this trail to prevent erosion;
- Transportation, storage and use of fuel for equipment refuelling; and
- Use of accommodation and facilities in Kugluktuk.

2. Inclusion or Exclusion to Scoping List

The NIRB has identified no additional works or activities in relation to the project proposal. As a result, the NIRB proceeded with screening the project based on the scope as described above.

3. Key Stages of the Screening Process

The following key stages were completed:

Date	Stage
February 24, 2018	Receipt of project proposal and screening referral from the NPC
February 26, 2018	Information request
March 5, 2018	Proponent responded to information request
March 6, 2018	Scoping pursuant to subsection 86(1) of the <i>NuPPAA</i>
March 14, 2018	Public engagement and comment request
March 26, 2018	Receipt of public comments

4. Public Comments and Concerns

Notice regarding the NIRB's screening of this project proposal was distributed on March 14, 2018 to community organizations in Kugluktuk, as well as to relevant federal and territorial government agencies, Inuit organizations and other parties. The NIRB requested that interested parties review the proposal and the NIRB's *proposed* project-specific terms and conditions, and provide the Board with any comments or concerns by March 26, 2018 regarding:

- Whether the project proposal is likely to arouse significant public concern; and if so, why;
- Whether the project proposal is likely to cause significant adverse eco-systemic or socioeconomic effects; and if so, why;
- Whether the project proposal is likely to cause significant adverse impacts on wildlife habitat or Inuit harvest activities; and if so, why;
- Whether the project proposal is of a type where the potential adverse effects are highly predictable and mitigable with known technology, (and providing any recommended mitigation measures); and
- Any matter of importance to the Party related to the project proposal.

The following is a summary of the comments and concerns received by the NIRB:

Fisheries and Oceans Canada (DFO)

Noted that the project does not fall within the mandate of DFO and therefore cannot provide any comments.

Indigenous and Northern Affairs Canada (INAC)

 Has reviewed the project proposal and related documents, and has no comments or additional terms and conditions to offer at this time.

5. Comments and Concerns with respect to Inuit Qaujimaningit, Traditional, and Community Knowledge

No concerns or comments were received with respect to Inuit Qaujimaningit or traditional and community knowledge in relation to the proposed project.

ASSESSMENT OF THE PROJECT PROPOSAL IN ACCORDANCE WITH PART 3 OF NUPPAA

In determining whether a review of the project is required, the Board considered whether the project proposal had potential to result in significant ecosystemic or socio-economic impacts.

Accordingly, the assessment of impact significance was based on the analysis of those factors that are set out under section 90 of the *NuPPAA*. The Board took particular care to take into account Inuit Qaujimaningit, traditional and community knowledge in carrying out its assessment and determination of the significance of impacts.

The following is a summary of the Board's assessment of the factors that are relevant to the determination of significant impacts with respect of this project proposal:

1. The size of the geographic area, including the size of wildlife habitats, likely to be affected by the impacts.

The proposed activities would occur in an area approximately 12 to 100 kilometres (km) southwest from Kugluktuk. The total area designated for the research program is approximately 98.8 square kilometres, and would include ATV-assisted travel routes from Kugluktuk to the Kugluk Territorial Park. The proposed project activities may take place within habitat for caribou, muskox, migratory and non-migratory birds, small mammals and Species At Risk (Eskimo Curlew), and activities may potentially affect animal migratory patterns. However, neither the Proponent, nor any of the government agencies or community organizations have identified any potential impacts to wildlife populations or wildlife habitat due to the proposed research program.

2. The ecosystemic sensitivity of that area.

The proposed research program would occur in an area that has identified ecosystemic sensitivity such as the Kugluk Territorial Park and the Coppermine River. Further, this specific area has been identified as having value and priority to the local community for:

- i. Bathurst and/or Bluenose (East) caribou
- ii. Muskox
- iii. Sports hunting
- iv. Migratory birds, especially snow geese, and
- v. Fishing areas

3. The historical, cultural and archaeological significance of that area.

The Proponent has indicated that there are a number of known areas of historical, cultural and archaeological significance associated with the project area. Should the project be approved to proceed, the Proponent would be expected to adhere to its commitment of not disturbing any archaeological resources in the vicinity of the project area, and would be required to contact the Government of Nunavut-Department of Culture and Heritage if any additional sites of historical, cultural or archaeological significance are encountered.

4. The size of the human and the animal populations likely to be affected by the impacts.

The proposed project would occur within and in proximity to the Kugluk Territorial Park and Coppermine River and is also approximately 100 kilometres from Kugluktuk, the nearest community; as such, human populations are likely to be affected by project-related impacts. No other specific animal populations have been identified as likely to be affected by potential project impacts.

Although no significant public concerns were raised during the public commenting period, the NIRB notes that the close proximity of the proposed activities to the community of Kugluktuk and areas used by residents for recreational/traditional pursuits could potentially contribute to public concern developing. A term and condition has been recommended to direct engagement with the community, hunters and trappers organization and interested parties, as well as the posting of public notices to ensure residents are aware of the geophysical research activities being or to be conducted.

5. The nature, magnitude and complexity of the impacts; the probability of the impacts occurring; the frequency and duration of the impacts; and the reversibility or irreversibility of the impacts.

As the "Identifying and implementing adaptation measures for permafrost degradation in Kugluk Territorial Park" project is a proposed geoscience research program, the nature of potential impacts is considered to be well-known. Potential adverse impacts are likely to be localized, of low magnitude, infrequent and restricted to the short period of project activities in each field season (up to 4 weeks). However, due to the proximity of the project activity area to habitat for caribou and other ungulate species, specific mitigation measures for the protection of caribou and other terrestrial wildlife species may be necessary. Based on past evidence of similar scope of activities, potential adverse impacts to terrestrial wildlife will be reversible and mitigable with due care.

6. The cumulative impacts that could result from the impacts of the project combined with those of any other project that has been carried out, is being carried out or is likely to be carried out.

The proposed project would take place within a 100 kilometre radius of a number of other projects that are currently active, in addition to other projects proposed and currently undergoing assessment by the Board as listed in Table 1 below. However, it is noted that this

project is not likely to result in residual or cumulative impacts. The potential for cumulative impacts to soil, vegetation, fish and fish habitat, migratory birds, water quality, cultural and archaeological resources, traditional wildlife harvesting pursuits, and local economies from the proposed research activities and other projects in the region have been identified and considered in the development of the NIRB's recommendations. Terms and conditions recommended for each of these projects are expected to reduce any residual impacts, and as such would limit or eliminate the potential for cumulative effects to occur.

Table 1: Project List

NIRB Project	Project Title	Project Type			
Number					
Proposed Developm	Proposed Developments – undergoing assessment				
17TN054	Complete Expeditions Tourist Operations- Tourism				
	Private Yacht Northwest Passage Interpretive				
	Trip				
18YN017	ATKA Expedition	Research			
Active Project					
17YN002	Towards a Sustainable Fishery for Research				
	Nunavummiut (TSFN): Water Analysis				
Past Projects					
17AN031	Canada C3 led Canada C3 led by Students on Tourism				
	Ice Foundation				
17YN018	Coppermine River Transect Research				

7. Any other factor that the Board considers relevant to the assessment of the significance of impacts.

No other specific factors have been identified as relevant to the assessment of this project proposal.

VIEWS OF THE BOARD

In considering the factors as set out above in the screening of the project proposal, the NIRB has identified a number of issues below and respectfully provide the following views regarding whether or not the proposed project has the potential to result in significant impacts. In addition, the NIRB has proposed terms and conditions that would mitigate the potential adverse impacts identified.

Administrative Conditions:

To encourage compliance with applicable regulatory requirements and assist the Board and responsible authorities with compliance and effects monitoring for project activities, the following project-specific terms and conditions have been recommended: 1-4.

Ecosystem, wildlife habitat and Inuit harvesting activities:

<u>Issue 1:</u> Potential negative impacts to terrestrial wildlife (including caribou and muskox), migratory and non-migratory birds, and their associated habitats due to increased noise from ATV transportation of research personnel, and ground-based research activities.

Board views: As discussed above in the assessment of factors relevant to this project proposal, the potential for impact(s) is applicable to a small geographic area within the Kugluk Territorial Park, and may affect terrestrial wildlife species such as caribou and muskox, and migratory and non-migratory birds that may frequent the area. Although the area proposed for the project is within habitats for caribou herd and other ungulate species, the potential for impacts are considered to be short-term (anticipated to last a few hours over a four (4) week period) and to be low in magnitude. Further, it is likely that the specific ATV trails identified by the Proponent for improvements and upgrades could be actively used by caribou or muskox. General wildlife protocols and migratory bird management are expected to further mitigate potential negative impacts to terrestrial wildlife species including birds breeding in the area.

The Proponent would also be required to follow the *Migratory Birds Convention Act*, *Migratory Birds* Regulations, *Species at Risk Act*, and the *Wildlife Act (Nunavut)*, (see Regulatory Requirements section).

Recommended Mitigation Measures: It is recommended that the potential negative impacts to wildlife may be mitigated by measures such as requiring the Proponent to reduce wildlife attractants, and cease activities that may damage wildlife habitat or interfere with the critical lifecycle stages, such as migration or nesting. The following terms and conditions are recommended to mitigate the potential negative impacts: 5, and 9 through 16.

<u>Issue 2:</u> Potential negative impacts to surface water quality, fish and fish habitat, vegetation, and soil from potential fuel spills during refuelling activities, runoff from ground disturbance activities, and transportation of equipment and personnel to and from the project sites.

<u>Board views</u>: There is potential for negative impacts to the ATV trails and surrounding areas within the Kugluk Territorial Park and Coppermine River from the research activities and the transportation of personnel to conduct the research. The potential for impacts is considered to be low in magnitude, infrequent and reversible. In addition to the Proponent's proposed mitigation measures, it is expected that standard operational considerations such as provision of spill response equipment on site would mitigate any potential negative impacts to soil, vegetation, surface water quality, including fish and fish habitat.

The Proponent would be required to follow the Fisheries Act, and Nunavut Waters and Nunavut Surface Rights Tribunal Act, the Transportation of Dangerous Goods Regulations, Transportation of Dangerous Goods Act and the Canadian Environmental Protection Act (see Regulatory Requirements section).

- Recommended Mitigation Measures: It is recommended that the potential negative impacts to surface water quality, fish and fish habitat, vegetation and soils would be mitigated by measures requiring the Proponent to have spill response equipment readily available during refueling, remove all garbage and undertake restoration of the project site to a stable state upon completion of field activities. The following terms and conditions are recommended to mitigate the potential negative impacts from the proposal: 5, 6 through 8, and 17 through 20.
- <u>Issue 3:</u> Potential negative impacts to public and traditional land use activities due to ground-based research activities within the Kugluk Territorial Park and near Coppermine River.
- Board Views: The Proponent has indicated that the proposed research activities would take place within and around the Kugluk Territorial Park and near the Coppermine River. It is likely that these areas would be used for tourism and traditional activities, and that noise generated from research activities and ATV operations may temporarily change the distribution of harvested wildlife, and may affect personal enjoyment of the land. As such, terms and conditions have been recommended to ensure safety to the public and to minimize impacts to traditional land use activities. Further, it is noted that the project activities are short term in nature and the impacts are expected to be temporary and low magnitude.
- Recommended Mitigation Measures: Term and condition 21 is recommended to ensure that the affected community and organizations are informed about the project proposal, and term and condition 22 has been recommended to ensure that project activities do not interfere with Inuit wildlife harvesting or traditional land use activities in the area. In addition, terms and conditions 9 through 16 have been recommended to minimize interference with the movements of caribou and muskox, and migratory and non-migratory birds.

Socio-economic effects on northerners:

- <u>Issue 4:</u> Potential negative impacts to historical, cultural and archaeological sites from overland transportation and ground-based research activities.
- Board Views: The Proponent is proposing to work in an area of known historical significance which may cause potential negative impacts to archaeological resources. The Proponent would be expected to adhere to its commitment to not disturbing any archaeological resources in the vicinity of the project area, and required to contact the Government of Nunavut-Department of Culture and Heritage when encountering any historical sites and follow the *Nunavut Act* (as recommended in Regulatory Requirements section).
- <u>Recommended Mitigation Measures</u>: Term and condition 21 is recommended to ensure that available Inuit Qaujimaningit can inform project activities, and reduce the potential for negative impacts occurring to any additional historical sites.
- <u>Issue 5:</u> Potential positive impacts to the local community from sourcing of accommodations and facilities in Kugluktuk for research personnel.

- <u>Board Views:</u> The Proponent has committed to sourcing accommodations and facilities in Kugluktuk during the research program which is considered a positive impact to the community. It is noted that the Proponent will continue to ensure that Nunavummiut, specifically from Kugluktuk, are involved in the land assessment and adaptation action for Kugluk Territorial Park, which is considered a continued positive impact.
- Recommended Mitigation Measures: Terms and conditions 21 and 23 have been recommended to ensure the Proponent continues to keep the community informed of the research activities, to mitigate any concerns that may arise from the project activities and potentially prepare community members for hiring or learning opportunities.

Significant public concern:

<u>Issue 6:</u> No significant public concern was expressed during the public commenting period for this file.

<u>Board Views:</u> Follow up consultation and involvement of local community members is expected to mitigate any potential for public concern resulting from project activities. Further it is noted that the Proponent has committed to integrating the Inuit Qaujimajatuqangit principles of Piliriqatigiingniq and Aajiqatigiingniq (working together for a common purpose and consensus decision-making) during the research project. In addition, it is recommended that the Proponent considers hiring local people for the project activities.

Recommended Mitigation Measures: Term and condition 21 is recommended to ensure that the affected community and organizations are informed about the project proposal, and to provide the Proponent with an opportunity to proactively address or mitigate any concerns that may arise from the project activities. Term and condition 23 is recommended to ensure that the Proponent provide community members with employment and business opportunities related to the project.

Technological innovations for which the effects are unknown:

No specific issues have been identified associated with this project proposal.

In considering the above factors and subject to the Proponent's compliance with the terms and conditions necessary to mitigate against the potential adverse environmental and social effects, the Board is of the view that the proposed project is unlikely to cause significant public concern and its adverse ecosystemic and socioeconomic impacts are unlikely to be significant, or are highly predictable and can be adequately mitigated by known technologies.

RECOMMENDED PROJECT-SPECIFIC TERMS AND CONDITIONS

The Board is recommending the following specific terms and conditions to apply in respect of the project:

General

1. Polar Knowledge Canada (the Proponent) shall maintain a copy of the Project Terms and Conditions at the site of operation at all times.

- 2. The Proponent shall forward copies of all permits obtained and required for this project to the Nunavut Impact Review Board (NIRB) prior to the commencement of the project.
- 3. The Proponent shall operate in accordance with all commitments stated in correspondence provided to the Nunavut Planning Commission (NPC File No.: 148724) and the NIRB (Online Application Form, March 5, 2018).
- 4. The Proponent shall operate the site in accordance with all applicable Acts, Regulations and Guidelines.

Waste Disposal

5. The Proponent shall keep all garbage and debris in bags placed in a covered metal container or equivalent until disposed of at an approved facility. All such wastes shall be kept inaccessible to wildlife at all times.

Fuel and Chemical Storage

- 6. The Proponent shall ensure that re-fueling of all equipment occurs a minimum of thirty-one (31) metres away from the high water mark of any water body, unless otherwise authorized by the Nunavut Water Board.
- 7. The Proponent shall ensure that appropriate spill response equipment and clean-up materials (e.g., shovels, pumps, barrels, drip pans, and absorbents) are readily available during any transfer of fuel or hazardous substances, at all fuel storage sites and when refuelling equipment.
- 8. The Proponent shall ensure that all personnel are properly trained in fuel and hazardous waste handling procedures, as well as spill response procedures. All spills of fuel or other deleterious materials of any amount must be reported immediately to the 24 hour Spill Line at (867) 920-8130.

Wildlife - General

- 9. The Proponent shall ensure that there is no damage to wildlife habitat in conducting this operation.
- 10. The Proponent shall not harass wildlife. This includes persistently circling, chasing, hovering over, pursuing or in any other way harassing wildlife, or disturbing large groups of animals.
- 11. The Proponent shall not hunt or fish, unless proper Nunavut authorizations have been acquired.
- 12. The Proponent shall ensure that all project personnel are made aware of the measures to protect wildlife and are provided with training and/or advice on how to implement these measures.

Migratory Birds and Raptors Disturbance

13. The Proponent shall not disturb or destroy the nests or eggs of any birds. If nests are encountered and/or identified, the Proponent shall take precaution to avoid further interaction and or disturbance (e.g., a 100 metres buffer around the nests). If active nests of any birds are discovered (i.e., with eggs or young), the Proponent shall avoid these areas until nesting is complete and the young have left the nest.

14. The Proponent shall minimize activities during periods when birds are particularly sensitive to disturbance such as migration, nesting and moulting.

Caribou and Muskoxen Disturbance

- 15. The Proponent shall cease activities that may interfere with the migration or calving of caribou or muskox, until the caribou or muskox have passed or left the area.
- 16. The Proponent shall not block or cause any diversion to caribou migration, and shall cease activities likely to interfere with migration such as movement of equipment or personnel until such time as the caribou have passed.

Ground Disturbance and Land Use

- 17. The Proponent shall not move any equipment or vehicles unless the ground surface is in a state capable of fully supporting the equipment or vehicles without rutting or gouging. Overland travel of equipment or vehicles must be suspended if rutting occurs.
- 18. The Proponent shall ensure that the land use area is kept clean and tidy at all times.

Restoration of Disturbed Areas

- 19. The Proponent shall remove all garbage, fuel and equipment upon abandonment.
- 20. The Proponent shall ensure that all disturbed areas are restored to a stable or pre-disturbed state as practical as possible upon completion of field work.

Other

- 21. The Proponent should engage with local residents regarding planned activities in the area and should solicit available Inuit Qaujimaningit and information regarding current recreational and traditional usage of the project area which may inform project activities. Posting of translated public notices and direct engagement with potentially interested groups and individuals prior to undertaking project activities is strongly encouraged.
- 22. The Proponent shall ensure that project activities do not interfere with Inuit wildlife harvesting or traditional land use activities.
- 23. The Proponent should, to the extent possible, hire local people and access local services where possible.

OTHER NIRB CONCERNS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

In addition to the project-specific terms and conditions, the Board is recommending the following:

Change in Project Scope

1. Responsible authorities or Proponent shall notify the Nunavut Planning Commission or Parks Canada as appropriate, and the NIRB of any changes in operating plans or conditions, including phase advancement, associated with this project prior to any such change.

Bear and Carnivore Safety

2. The Proponent should review the Government of Nunavut's booklet on Bear Safety, which can be downloaded from this link: http://gov.nu.ca/sites/default/files/bear safety -

- <u>reducing bear-people conflicts in nunavut.pdf</u>. Further information on bear/carnivore detection and deterrent techniques can be found in the "Safety in Grizzly and Black Bear Country" pamphlet, which can be downloaded from this link: http://www.enr.gov.nt.ca/sites/default/files/web_pdf_wd_bear_safety_brochure_1_may_2015.pdf.
- 3. There are polar bear and grizzly bear safety resources available from the Bear Smart Society with videos on polar bear safety available in English, French and Inuktitut at http://www.bearsmart.com/play/safety-in-polar-bear-country/. Information can also be obtained from Parks Canada's website on bear safety at the following link: http://www.pc.gc.ca/eng/pn-np/nu/quttinirpaaq/visit/visit6/d.aspx or in reviewing the "Safety in Polar Bear Country" pamphlet, which can be downloaded from the following link: http://www.pc.gc.ca/eng/pn-np/nu/quttinirpaaq/visit/visit6/~/media/pn-np/nu/quyuittuq/pdf/shared/PolarBearSafety_English.ashx.
- 4. Any problem wildlife or any interaction with carnivores should be reported immediately to the local Government of Nunavut, Department of Environment Conservation Office (Conservation Officer of Kugluktuk, phone: (867) 982-7440).

Species at Risk

5. The Proponent review Environment and Climate Change Canada's "Environment Assessment Best Practice Guide for Wildlife at Risk in Canada", available at the following link:

http://www.sararegistry.gc.ca/virtual_sara/files/policies/EA%20Best%20Practices%202004.pdf. The guide provides information to the Proponent on what is required when Wildlife at Risk, including *Species at Risk*, are encountered or affected by the project.

Migratory Birds

- 6. The Proponent review Canadian Wildlife Services' "Key migratory bird terrestrial habitat sites in the Northwest Territories and Nunavut", available at the following link: http://publications.gc.ca/site/eng/317630/publication.html and "Key marine habitat sites for migratory birds in Nunavut and the Northwest Territories", available at the following link: http://publications.gc.ca/site/eng/392824/publication.html. The guide provides information to the Proponent on key terrestrial and marine habitat areas that are essential to the welfare of various migratory bird species in Canada.
- 7. For further information on how to protect migratory birds, their nests and eggs when planning or carrying out project activities, consult Environment and Climate Change Canada's Incidental Take web page and the fact sheet "Planning Ahead to Reduce the Risk of Detrimental Effects to Migratory Birds, and their Nests and Eggs" available at http://www.ec.gc.ca/paom-itmb/.

Transport of Dangerous Goods and Waste Management

8. Environment and Climate Change Canada recommends that all hazardous wastes, including waste oil, receive proper treatment and disposal at an approved facility.

REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS

The Proponent is also advised that the following legislation may apply to the project:

- 1. The Fisheries Act (http://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/F-14/index.html).
- 2. The Nunavut Waters and Nunavut Surface Rights Tribunal Act (http://lawslois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/n-28.8/).
- 3. The Migratory Birds Convention Act and Migratory Birds Regulations (http://lawslois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/M-7.01/).
- 4. The Species at Risk Act (http://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/S-15.3/index.html). Attached in **Appendix A** is a list of Species at Risk in Nunavut.
- 5. The Wildlife its corresponding regulations Act (Nunavut) and (http://www.canlii.org/en/nu/laws/stat/snu-2003-c-26/latest/snu-2003-c-26.html).
- 6. The *Nunavut Act* (http://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/N-28.6/). The Proponent must comply with the proposed terms and conditions listed in the attached **Appendix B**.
- 7. The Transportation of Dangerous Goods Regulations (http://www.tc.gc.ca/eng/tdg/cleartofc-211.htm), **Transportation** Dangerous Goods (http://lawsofAct lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/t-19.01/), and the Canadian Environmental Protection Act (http://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/C-15.31/).

CONCLUSION

The foregoing constitutes the Board's screening decision with respect to the Polar Knowledge Canada's "Identifying and Implementing Adaptation Measures for Permafrost Degradation in Kugluk Territorial Park". The NIRB remains available for consultation with the Minister regarding this report as necessary.

Dated April 18, 2018 at Whale Cove, NU.

Elizabeth Copland, Chairperson

Attachments: Appendix A: Species at Risk in Nunavut

Appendix B: Archaeological and Palaeontological Resources Terms and Conditions for Land Use

Permit Holders

Appendix A

Species at Risk in Nunavut

Due to the requirements of Section 79(2) of the Species At Risk Act (SARA), and the potential for project-specific adverse effects on listed wildlife species and its critical habitat, measures should be taken as appropriate to avoid or lessen those effects, and the effects need to be monitored. Project effects could include species disturbance, attraction to operations and destruction of habitat. This section applies to all species listed on Schedule 1 of SARA, as listed in the table below, or have been assessed by the Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada (COSEWIC), which may be encountered in the project area. This list may not include all species identified as at risk by the Territorial Government. The following points provide clarification on the applicability of the species outlined in the table.

- Schedule 1 is the official legal list of Species at Risk for SARA. SARA applies to all species on Schedule 1. The term "listed" species refers to species on Schedule 1.
- Schedule 2 and 3 of SARA identify species that were designated at risk by the COSEWIC prior to October 1999 and must be reassessed using revised criteria before they can be considered for addition to Schedule 1.
- Some species identified at risk by COSEWIC are "pending" addition to Schedule 1 of SARA. These species are under consideration for addition to Schedule 1, subject to further consultation or assessment.

If species at risk are encountered or affected, the primary mitigation measure should be avoidance. The Proponent should avoid contact with or disturbance to each species, its habitat and/or its residence. All direct, indirect, and cumulative effects should be considered. Refer to species status reports and other information on the species at risk Registry at http://www.sararegistry.gc.ca for information on specific species.

Monitoring should be undertaken by the Proponent to determine the effectiveness of mitigation and/or identify where further mitigation is required. As a minimum, this monitoring should include recording the locations and dates of any observations of species at risk, behaviour or actions taken by the animals when project activities were encountered, and any actions taken by the proponent to avoid contact or disturbance to the species, its habitat, and/or its residence. This information should be submitted to the appropriate regulators and organizations with management responsibility for that species, as requested.

For species primarily managed by the Territorial Government, the Territorial Government should be consulted to identify other appropriate mitigation and/or monitoring measures to minimize effects to these species from the project.

Mitigation and monitoring measures must be undertaken in a way that is consistent with applicable recovery strategies and action/management plans.

Schedules of SARA are amended on a regular basis so it is important to check the SARA registry (www.sararegistry.gc.ca) to get the current status of a species.

Updated: September 2017

Updated: September 2017	•	T	
Terrestrial	COSEWIC		Government Organization with Primary Management
Species at Risk ¹	Designation	Schedule of SARA	Responsibility ²
		ry Birds	
Buff-breasted Sandpiper	Special concern	Schedule 1	ECCC
Eskimo Curlew	Endangered	Schedule 1	ECCC
Harlequin Duck (Eastern	Special Concern	Schedule 1	ECCC
population)			
Harris's Sparrow	Special Concern	Pending	ECCC
Horned Grebe (Western	Special Concern	Schedule 1	ECCC
population)			
Ivory Gull	Endangered	Schedule 1	ECCC
Peregrine Falcon	Special Concern	Schedule 1 -	ECCC
	(anatum-tundrius complex ³)	Schedule 3	
Red Knot (islandica	Special Concern	Schedule 1	ECCC
subspecies)			
Red Knot (rufa subspecies)	Endangered	Schedule 1	ECCC
Red-necked Phalarope	Special concern	Pending	ECCC
Ross's Gull	Threatened	Schedule 1	ECCC
Rusty Blackbird	Special Concern	Schedule 1	ECCC
Short-eared Owl	Special Concern	Schedule 1	ECCC
	Vege	tation	
Blanket-leaved Willow	Special Concern	Schedule 1	Government of Nunavut
Felt-leaf Willow	Special Concern	Schedule 1	Government of Nunavut
Porsild's Bryum (Moss)	Threatened	Schedule 1	Government of Nunavut
	Arthr	opods	
Traverse Lady Beetle	Special Concern	Pending	Government of Nunavut
	Terrestria	l Wildlife	
Caribou (Barren-Ground population)	Threatened	Pending	Government of Nunavut
Dolphin and Union Caribou	Special Concern	Schedule 1	Government of Nunavut
Grizzly Bear (Western Population)	Special Concern	Pending	Government of Nunavut
Peary Caribou	Endangered	Schedule 1	Government of Nunavut
Peary Caribou (High Arctic	Endangered	Schedule 2	Government of Nunavut
Population)			
Peary Caribou (Low Arctic	Threatened	Schedule 2	Government of Nunavut
Population)			
Wolverine	Special Concern	Pending	Government of Nunavut
Wolverine (Western	Non-active	Pending	Government of Nunavut
population)			
		Wildlife	
Atlantic Walrus	Special Concern	Pending	DFO
Beluga Whale		Schedule 2	DFO
(Cumberland Sound	Endangered		
population)	0 110	D 1	PEG
Beluga Whale	Special Concern	Pending	DFO
(Eastern High Arctic – Baffin			
Bay population)	F 1 .	D 11	PEG
Beluga Whale	Endangered	Pending	DFO
(Eastern Hudson Bay			
population)			

Beluga Whale (Southeast	Endangered	Schedule 2	DFO
Baffin Island – Cumberland	Ziidaiigered		
Sound population)			
Beluga Whale	Special Concern	Pending	DFO
(Western Hudson Bay	T		
population)			
Bowhead Whale (Eastern	Endangered	Schedule 2	DFO
Arctic population			
Bowhead Whale	Special Concern	Pending	DFO
(Eastern Canada – West			
Greenland population)			
Killer Whale (Northwest	Special Concern	Pending	DFO
Atlantic / Eastern Arctic			
populations)			
Narwhal	Special Concern	Pending	DFO
Polar Bear	Special Concern	Schedule 1	Government of
			Nunavut/DFO
	Fi	sh	
Atlantic Cod, Arctic Lakes	Special Concern	Pending	DFO
Atlantic Wolffish	Special Concern	Schedule 1	DFO
Bering Wolffish	Special Concern	Schedule 3	DFO
Blackline Prickleback	Special Concern	Schedule 3	DFO
Fourhorn Sculpin	Special Concern	Schedule 3	DFO
Fourhorn Sculpin (Freshwater	Data Deficient	Schedule 3	DFO
form)			
Northern Wolffish	Threatened	Schedule 1	DFO
Roundnose Grenadier	Endangered	Pending	DFO
Spotted Whitefish	Threatened	Schedule 1	DFO
Thorny Skate	Special Concern	Pending	DFO

¹ The Department of Fisheries and Oceans has responsibility for aquatic species.

² Environment Canada (EC) has a national role to play in the conservation and recovery of Species at Risk in Canada, as well as responsibility for management of birds described in the Migratory Birds Convention Act (MBCA). Day-to-day management of terrestrial species not covered in the MBCA is the responsibility of the Territorial Government. Populations that exist in National Parks are also managed under the authority of the Parks Canada Agency.

Appendix B Archaeological and Palaeontological Resources Terms and Conditions for Land Use Permit Holders



INTRODUCTION

The Department of Culture and Heritage (CH) routinely reviews land use applications sent to the Nunavut Water Board, Nunavut Impact Review Board and the Indigenous and Northern Affairs Canada. These terms and conditions provide general direction to the permittee/proponent regarding the appropriate actions to be taken to ensure the permittee/proponent carries out its role in the protection of Nunavut's archaeological and palaeontological resources.

TERMS AND CONDITIONS

1) The permittee/proponent shall have a professional archaeologist and/or palaeontologist perform the following **Functions** associated with the **Types of Development** listed below or similar development activities:

	Types of Development	Function	
	(See Guidelines below)	(See Guidelines below)	
a)	Large scale prospecting	Archaeological/Palaeontological	
	Large scale prospecting	Overview Assessment	
	Diamond drilling for exploration or		
b)	geotechnical purpose or planning of	Archaeological/ Palaeontological	
	linear disturbances	Inventory	
c)	Construction of linear disturbances,	Archaeological/ Palaeontological	
	Extractive disturbances, Impounding	Inventory or Assessment or	
	disturbances and other land	Mitigation	
	disturbance activities	ivitigation	

Note that the above-mentioned functions require either a Nunavut Archaeologist Permit or a Nunavut Palaeontologist Permit. CH is authorized by way of the *Nunavut and Archaeological and Palaeontological Site Regulations*¹ to issue such permits.

2) The permittee/proponent shall not operate any vehicle over a known or suspected archaeological or palaeontological site.

_

¹P.C. 2001-1111 14 June, 2001

- 3) The permittee/proponent shall not remove, disturb, or displace any archaeological artifact or site, or any fossil or palaeontological site.
- 4) The permittee/proponent shall immediately contact CH at (867) 934-2046 or (867) 975-5500 should an archaeological site or specimen, or a palaeontological site or fossil, be encountered or disturbed by any land use activity.
- 5) The permittee/proponent shall immediately cease any activity that disturbs an archaeological or palaeontological site encountered during the course of a land use operation until permitted to proceed with the authorization of CH.
- 6) The permittee/proponent shall follow the direction of CH in restoring disturbed archaeological or palaeontological sites to an acceptable condition. If these conditions are attached to either a Class A or B Permit under the Territorial Lands Act Indigenous and Northern Affairs Canada directions will also be followed.
- 7) The permittee/proponent shall provide all information requested by CH concerning all archaeological sites or artifacts and all palaeontological sites and fossils encountered in the course of any land use activity.
- 8) The permittee/proponent shall make best efforts to ensure that all persons working under its authority are aware of these conditions concerning archaeological sites and artifacts and palaeontological sites and fossils.
- 9) If a list of recorded archaeological and/or palaeontological sites is provided to the permittee/proponent by CH as part of the review of the land use application the permittee/proponent shall avoid the archaeological and/or palaeontological sites listed.
- 10) Should a list of recorded sites be provided to the permittee/proponent, the information is provided solely for the purpose of the proponent's land use activities as described in the land use application, and must otherwise be treated confidentially by the proponent.

Legal Framework

As stated in Article 33 of the Agreement between the Inuit of the Nunavut Settlement Area and Her Majesty the Queen in right of Canada (Nunavut Agreement):

Where an application is made for a land use permit in the Nunavut Settlement Area, and there are reasonable grounds to believe that there could be sites of archaeological importance on the lands affected, no land use permit shall be issued without written consent of the Designated Agency. Such consent shall not be unreasonably withheld. [33.5.12]

Each land use permit referred to in Section 33.5.12 shall specify the plans and methods of archeological site protection and restoration to be followed by the permit holder, and any other conditions the Designated Agency may deem fit. [33.5.13]

Palaeontology and Archaeology

Under the $Nunavut Act^2$, the federal government can make regulations for the protection, care and preservation of palaeontological and archaeological sites and specimens in Nunavut. Under

Ī

² s. 51(1)

the Nunavut Archaeological and Palaeontological Sites Regulations₃, it is illegal to alter or disturb any palaeontological or archaeological site in Nunavut unless permission is first granted through the permitting process.

Definitions

As defined in the Nunavut Archaeological and Palaeontological Sites Regulations, the following definitions apply:

"archaeological site" means a place where an archaeological artifact is found.

"archaeological artifact" means any tangible evidence of human activity that is more than 50 years old and in respect of which an unbroken chain of possession or regular pattern of usage cannot be demonstrated, and includes a Denesuline archaeological specimen referred to in section 40.4.9 of the Agreement between the Inuit of the Nunavut Settlement Area and Her Majesty the Queen in right of Canada (Nunavut Agreement).

"palaeontological site" means a site where a fossil is found.

"fossil" includes:

Fossil means the hardened or preserved remains or impression of previously living organisms or vegetation and includes:

- (a) natural casts;
- (b) preserved tracks, coprolites and plant remains; and
- (c) the preserved shells and exoskeletons of invertebrates and the preserved eggs, teeth and bones of vertebrates.

Guidelines for Developers for the Protection of Archaeological Resources in the Nunavut *Territory*

(**Note:** Partial document only, complete document at: www.ch.gov.nu.ca/en/Archaeology.aspx)

Introduction

The following guidelines have been formulated to ensure that the impacts of proposed developments upon heritage resources are assessed and mitigated before ground surface altering activities occur. Heritage resources are defined as, but not limited to, archaeological and historical sites, burial grounds, palaeontological sites, historic buildings and cairns Effective collaboration between the developer, the Department of Culture, and Heritage (CH), and the contract archaeologist(s) will ensure proper preservation of heritage resources in the Nunavut Territory. The roles of each are briefly described.

CH is the Nunavut Government agency which oversees the protection and management of heritage resources in Nunavut, in partnership with land claim authorities, regulatory agencies, and the federal government. Its role in mitigating impacts of developments on heritage resources is as follows: to identify the need for an impact assessment and make recommendations to the appropriate regulatory agency; set the terms of reference for the study depending upon the scope of the development; suggest the names of qualified individuals

³ P.C. 2001-1111 14 June. 2001

prepared to undertake the study to the developer; issue an archaeologist or palaeontologist permit authorizing field work; assess the completeness of the study and its recommendations; and ensure that the developer complies with the recommendations.

The primary regulatory agencies that CH provides information and assistance to are the Nunavut Impact Review Board, for development activities proposed for Inuit Owned Lands (as defined in Section 1.1.1 of the Agreement between the Inuit of the Nunavut Settlement Area and Her Majesty the Queen in right of Canada (Nunavut Agreement)), and the Indigenous and Northern Affairs Canada, for development activities proposed for federal Crown Lands.

A developer is the initiator of a land use activity. It is the obligation of the developer to ensure that a qualified archaeologist or palaeontologist is hired to perform the required study and that provisions of the contract with the archaeologist or palaeontologist allow permit requirements to be met; i.e. fieldwork, collections management, artifact and specimen conservation, and report preparation. On the recommendation of the contract archaeologist or palaeontologist in the field and the Government of Nunavut, the developer shall implement avoidance or mitigative measures to protect heritage resources or to salvage the information they contain through excavation, analysis, and report writing. The developer assumes all costs associated with the study in its entirety.

Through his or her active participation and supervision of the study, the contract archaeologist or palaeontologist is accountable for the quality of work undertaken and the quality of the report produced. Facilities to conduct fieldwork, analysis, and report preparation should be available to this individual through institutional, agency, or company affiliations. Responsibility for the curation of objects recovered during field work while under study and for documents generated in the course of the study as well as remittance of artifacts, specimens and documents to the repository specified on the permit accrue to the contract archaeologist or palaeontologist. This individual is also bound by the legal requirements of the *Nunavut Archaeological and Palaeontological Sites Regulations*.

Types of Development

In general, those developments that cause concern for the safety of heritage resources will include one or more of the following kinds of surface disturbances. These categories, in combination, are comprehensive of the major kinds of developments commonly proposed in Nunavut. For any single development proposal, several kinds of these disturbances may be involved

- Linear disturbances: including the construction of highways, roads, winter roads, transmission lines, and pipelines;
- Extractive disturbances: including mining, gravel removal, quarrying, and land filling;
- *Impoundment disturbances: including dams, reservoirs, and tailings ponds;*
- Intensive land use disturbances: including industrial, residential, commercial, recreational, and land reclamation work, and use of heritage resources as tourist developments.

• Mineral, oil and gas exploration: establishment of camps, temporary airstrips, access routes, well sites, or quarries all have potential for impacting heritage resources.

Types of Studies Undertaken to Preserve Heritage Resources

Overview: An overview study of heritage resources should be conducted at the same time as the development project is being designed or its feasibility addressed. They usually lack specificity with regard to the exact location(s) and form(s) of impact and involve limited, if any, field surveys. Their main aim is to accumulate, evaluate, and synthesize the existing knowledge of the heritage of the known area of impact. The overview study provides managers with baseline data from which recommendations for future research and forecasts of potential impacts can be made. A Class I Permit is required for this type of study if field surveys are undertaken.

Reconnaissance: This is done to provide a judgmental appraisal of a region sufficient to provide the developer, the consultant, and government managers with recommendations for further development planning. This study may be implemented as a preliminary step to inventory and assessment investigations except in cases where a reconnaissance may indicate a very low or negligible heritage resource potential. Alternately, in the case of small-scale or linear developments, an inventory study may be recommended and obviate the need for a reconnaissance.

The main goal of a reconnaissance study is to provide baseline data for the verification of the presence of potential heritage resources, the determination of impacts to these resources, the generation of terms of reference for further studies and, if required, the advancement of preliminary mitigative and compensatory plans. The results of reconnaissance studies are primarily useful for the selection of alternatives and secondarily as a means of identifying impacts that must be mitigated after the final siting and design of the development project. Depending on the scope of the study, a Class 1 or Class 2 Permit is required for this type of investigation.

Inventory: A resource inventory is generally conducted at that stage in a project's development at which the geographical area(s) likely to sustain direct, indirect, and perceived impacts can be well defined. This requires systematic and intensive fieldwork to ascertain the effects of all possible and alternate construction components on heritage resources. All heritage sites must be recorded on Government of Nunavut Site Survey forms. Sufficient information must be amassed from field, library and archival components of the study to generate a predictive model of the heritage resource base that will:

- allow the identification of research and conservation opportunities;
- enable the developer to make planning decisions and recognize their likely effects on the known or predicted resources; and
- make the developer aware of the expenditures, which may be required for subsequent studies and mitigation. A Class 1 or 2 permit is required.

Assessment: At this stage, sufficient information concerning the numbers and locations of heritage resources will be available, as well as data to predict the forms and magnitude of impacts. Assessments provide information on the size, volume, complexity and content of a

heritage resource, which is used to rank the values of different sites or site types given current archaeological knowledge. As this information will shape subsequent mitigation program(s), great care is necessary during this phase.

Mitigation: This refers to the amelioration of adverse impacts to heritage resources and involves the avoidance of impact through the redesign or relocation of a development or its components; the protection of the resource by constructing physical facilities; or, the scientific investigation and recovery of information from the resource by excavation or other method. The type(s) of appropriate mitigative measures are dictated by their viability in the context of the development project. Mitigation strategies must be developed in consultation with, and approved by, the Department of Culture and Heritage. It is important to note that mitigation activities should be initiated as far in advance of the construction of the development as possible.

Surveillance and monitoring: These may be required as part of the mitigation program.

Surveillance may be conducted during the construction phase of a project to ensure that the developer has complied with the recommendations.

Monitoring involves identification and inspection of residual and long-term impacts of a development (i.e. shoreline stability of a reservoir); or the use of impacts to disclose the presence of heritage resources, for example, the uncovering of buried sites during the construction of a pipeline.